

THE PAKISTAN DEMOCRATIC MOVEMENT (PDM): IMPACT ON POLITICAL DYNAMICS (2020–2023)

Nadia Rasheed

Assistant Professor in Political Science, Government Graduate College for Women, Wapda Town Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan.

gcwnadiapolsci18@gmail.com

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17337365>

Keywords

Pakistan Democratic Movement (PDM), opposition politics, political coalition, democratic governance, electoral reforms, Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf (PTI), civil-military relations

Article History

Received: 23 August 2025

Accepted: 01 October 2025

Published: 13 October 2025

Copyright @Author

Corresponding Author: *

Nadia Rasheed

Abstract

This research article investigates the rise and political implications of the Pakistan Democratic Movement (PDM), a coalition of opposition parties formed in 2020 to challenge the Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf (PTI) government under Prime Minister Imran Khan. Using a qualitative analytical approach grounded in document analysis and political theory, the study explores the socio-political factors behind the coalition's emergence, its mobilization strategies, and its broader impact on Pakistan's democratic trajectory between 2020 and 2023. Findings reveal that the PDM capitalized on public dissatisfaction with governance, economic decline, and increasing authoritarian tendencies. The movement reinvigorated democratic discourse, reshaped public opinion, and exposed tensions within Pakistan's civil-military relations. However, internal divisions and inconsistent strategies undermined its long-term stability. The study concludes that while the PDM reshaped political engagement and pressured institutional reforms, it also intensified polarization within Pakistan's fragile democratic landscape.

INTRODUCTION

The political history of Pakistan is marked by cycles of civilian and military dominance, with intermittent democratic movements attempting to restore balance between governance and accountability. In this continuum, the Pakistan Democratic Movement (PDM) emerged in 2020 as a formidable coalition of opposition parties seeking to counter what it perceived as the authoritarian drift of the Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf (PTI) government led by Imran Khan. Formed by major political entities such as the Pakistan Muslim League-Nawaz (PML-N), the Pakistan Peoples Party (PPP), and Jamiat Ulema-e-Islam (F), the PDM aimed to mobilize citizens around issues of inflation, corruption, electoral malpractice, and declining democratic norms (Rizvi, 2021).

The coalition's emergence reflected growing discontent among Pakistanis frustrated with economic mismanagement, unemployment, and the perceived alignment between the military and civilian governments (Zia, 2022). The PDM's strategy combined street protests, political rallies, and digital campaigns to question the legitimacy of the ruling regime while calling for institutional accountability. This movement reactivated the country's opposition politics and redefined democratic participation by bridging ideological divides across religious, nationalist, and liberal factions (Khan, 2023). This study analyzes the PDM's political role, strategies, and impact on Pakistan's political transformation between 2020 and 2023. It situates the movement

within broader debates on coalition politics, civil-military relations, and democratic consolidation, contributing to the understanding of how opposition alliances influence governance in transitional democracies.

2. Literature Review

The literature on political coalitions in Pakistan highlights the cyclical nature of opposition alliances, often formed in response to perceived authoritarianism or electoral manipulation. From the Movement for the Restoration of Democracy (MRD) in the 1980s to the Alliance for the Restoration of Democracy (ARD) in the 2000s, opposition unity has been a recurring strategy against incumbent regimes (Yusuf, 2021). The PDM, in this historical context, represents a continuation of this tradition—uniting ideologically divergent parties to pursue a common political goal.

Scholars such as Khan (2022) and Zaidi (2022) argue that the PDM's emergence was a response to Pakistan's declining democratic institutions and worsening economic crisis. The coalition's mobilization tactics—rallies, marches, and media campaigns—demonstrated the power of collective action in shaping political discourse. By framing issues of electoral integrity, accountability, and civilian supremacy, the PDM successfully elevated democratic concerns within public debate.

Media engagement played a vital role in the coalition's growth. Ahmed and Siddiqui (2022) observe that the PDM utilized both traditional and digital platforms to communicate its message, reaching younger, urban audiences disillusioned with political stagnation. The alliance's social media strategy was particularly effective in bypassing state-controlled narratives and countering government propaganda (Malik, 2022).

However, the PDM also revealed structural weaknesses inherent in coalition politics. Internal rivalries between the PML-N and PPP—over leadership and strategy—undermined organizational cohesion (Ali & Hussain, 2022). Analysts noted that such ideological diversity, though a strength in mobilizing diverse voter bases, became a liability in sustaining unity post-mobilization.

Moreover, the PDM reignited debates about Pakistan's civil-military nexus. Ahmed (2022) and Hussain (2023) highlight that by openly criticizing

military interference in civilian politics, the PDM redefined political discourse on institutional accountability. This confrontation, however, further polarized the political environment, raising questions about the balance between reform and destabilization. In sum, existing scholarship positions the PDM as a transformative yet internally fragile coalition. While it revitalized political activism and democratic engagement, its contradictions limited its ability to achieve structural change in governance.

3. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative analytical approach, utilizing document analysis to interpret official statements, media reports, political commentaries, and scholarly publications from 2020 to 2023. The analysis focuses on identifying recurring themes related to PDM's formation, strategies, internal challenges, and policy impacts.

The qualitative method was chosen due to the political sensitivity of the topic and the limited accessibility of quantitative data on public mobilization. By employing thematic content analysis, the research decodes patterns in political narratives, media discourse, and opposition rhetoric. The study follows an interpretivist paradigm, acknowledging the subjectivity inherent in political interpretation while striving for analytical balance (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

Data triangulation was ensured through cross-verification of academic sources, parliamentary debates, and journalistic coverage to enhance reliability. Ethical standards were maintained by avoiding partisan bias and ensuring accurate representation of political actors' positions.

4. Analysis and Discussion

4.1 Formation and Evolution of the PDM

The PDM's foundation in 2020 was catalyzed by a convergence of economic hardship, allegations of electoral rigging, and frustration with centralized governance. It began with eleven opposition parties joining under a unified platform to restore "true democracy" in Pakistan (Siddiqui, 2020). The coalition's earliest rallies in Gujranwala, Karachi, and Lahore drew massive crowds, signaling widespread discontent with the PTI administration.

The unification of historical rivals—particularly the PML-N and PPP—was unprecedented. Their cooperation illustrated a pragmatic response to authoritarian pressures rather than ideological alignment (Hussain, 2020). This alliance demonstrated that political expediency often overrides doctrinal differences when democracy is perceived to be under threat.

4.2 Factors Behind Its Emergence

Several factors contributed to the PDM's rise. The economic downturn marked by inflation, currency depreciation, and unemployment provided fertile ground for opposition mobilization (Khan, 2021). Furthermore, the civil-military imbalance—long criticized in Pakistan's political culture—became a central issue in the PDM's rhetoric. Leaders accused the military of manipulating electoral outcomes and undermining civilian autonomy, a theme that resonated deeply with pro-democracy activists (Ahmed, 2022).

The coalition also capitalized on public frustration with governance failures during the COVID-19 pandemic. Mismanagement of health and welfare policies, alongside rising poverty, heightened the legitimacy crisis for the PTI government. The PDM's framing of these issues as "failures of democracy under military influence" effectively merged economic grievances with political discontent.

4.3 Mobilization and Media Strategy

The PDM adopted a hybrid mobilization strategy combining mass rallies, digital activism, and strategic communication. Traditional media coverage of large-scale demonstrations amplified the movement's visibility, while social media platforms such as Twitter and Facebook enabled real-time engagement with the public (Ahmed & Siddiqui, 2022). Hashtags like #GoImranGo and #RestoreDemocracy trended across platforms, illustrating the movement's success in shaping digital discourse.

However, government restrictions, arrests of opposition leaders, and media censorship limited the coalition's outreach. Despite these constraints, the PDM's narrative of accountability, transparency, and civil supremacy remained central to national debate.

4.4 Internal Divisions and Coalition Challenges

While effective in mobilization, the PDM struggled with internal coherence. Conflicting agendas between major parties over parliamentary participation, resignations, and engagement with the establishment revealed fractures (Ali & Hussain, 2022). The PPP's eventual withdrawal from joint protest plans in 2021 exemplified these tensions.

Such divisions underscore the inherent instability of coalitions formed on short-term objectives rather than shared ideology. The lack of institutional mechanisms for conflict resolution weakened the PDM's long-term sustainability. Nevertheless, the temporary unity achieved under its banner set a precedent for future alliances seeking to balance diverse political interests.

4.5 Impact on Governance and Democratic Discourse

The PDM's greatest achievement lay in reviving political accountability. By exposing governance inefficiencies and questioning the transparency of decision-making, it compelled the government to respond to criticism. The alliance also pressured the Election Commission of Pakistan to revisit aspects of electoral legislation before the 2023 elections, thereby influencing institutional reform (Rizvi & Khan, 2022).

Moreover, the PDM's activism reintroduced democratic participation into mainstream discourse. It encouraged youth and civil society engagement, bridging the gap between urban and rural political voices. However, it also contributed to heightened polarization, as the PTI framed the movement as a "corrupt alliance resisting accountability" (Khan & Yaseen, 2021).

The movement's engagement with the judiciary further expanded the debate on democratic governance. The PDM criticized judicial partiality toward the ruling party, arguing for greater separation of powers (Shah & Abbas, 2023). This confrontation highlighted the broader crisis of institutional trust in Pakistan.

4.6 Economic and Social Implications

The Pakistan Democratic Movement (PDM) emerged at a time when the country was facing severe economic stress characterized by rising inflation, currency depreciation, and declining purchasing power. The

coalition's rhetoric on economic mismanagement directly resonated with Pakistan's working and middle classes, who were increasingly frustrated with the government's inability to control price hikes and stabilize the economy. By linking inflation, unemployment, and fiscal mismanagement to the broader failures of governance, the PDM effectively transformed economic grievances into a populist political agenda (Hassan, 2021). This narrative appealed to citizens who perceived the PTI government's economic reforms as disproportionately benefiting elites while burdening lower-income groups with austerity measures. The PDM positioned itself as a voice of economic justice, emphasizing the need for accountability and transparency in resource distribution. Through its public rallies and speeches, the movement tied economic suffering to political exclusion, arguing that only a truly democratic system—free from authoritarian manipulation—could deliver equitable economic outcomes. In doing so, the coalition successfully linked economic frustrations to the legitimacy crisis of the ruling government.

Beyond its populist appeal, the PDM also highlighted deep-seated structural inequalities within Pakistan's socio-economic framework. Its leadership repeatedly criticized the concentration of wealth and the elite capture of state institutions, contending that these inequities had intensified under centralized governance. The coalition used the narrative of economic disenfranchisement to mobilize rural communities, small business owners, and urban wage earners who felt alienated by macroeconomic decisions made without public consultation. However, the PDM's ability to sustain economic credibility was limited by the absence of a coherent policy framework. While it identified the symptoms of economic decline—such as unemployment, rising taxes, and inflation—it offered limited detail on how to achieve long-term reform. This weakness undermined its potential to present itself as a viable governing alternative capable of managing Pakistan's economic recovery (Hassan, 2021). Nevertheless, the PDM's economic discourse was significant because it democratized economic debate, making financial hardship a collective political issue rather than an isolated economic phenomenon. The coalition's emphasis on distributive justice reinvigorated public

dialogue on the moral dimensions of governance and economic fairness.

Socially, the PDM's mobilization efforts had profound implications for class consciousness and civic engagement. The coalition's framing of economic inequality as a product of political authoritarianism encouraged citizens to view economic justice as inseparable from democratic participation. This approach transformed economic grievances into a unifying social narrative that transcended ethnic, linguistic, and regional divides. In many ways, the PDM served as a platform for marginalized voices, particularly workers, students, and small-scale traders who had been excluded from mainstream policymaking. By bringing together multiple political and social constituencies, the movement fostered a new sense of collective identity rooted in democratic accountability and socio-economic inclusion. However, despite its success in raising awareness, the coalition failed to institutionalize its social agenda into concrete reforms or sustainable welfare strategies. The lack of a structured social policy vision limited its impact to the realm of protest politics rather than tangible change. Nonetheless, the PDM's mobilization left an enduring social imprint by highlighting how economic insecurity and governance failures are interconnected, reaffirming the idea that democracy and economic stability must progress in tandem to achieve genuine national development (Hassan, 2021).

4.7 International and Strategic Dimensions

Internationally, the PDM's emergence attracted significant attention from regional and global observers who interpreted it as part of Pakistan's recurring struggle for civilian supremacy and democratic resilience. Political analysts and international think tanks saw in the PDM an effort to realign Pakistan's political structure toward greater accountability and to challenge the entrenched dominance of the military establishment in policymaking (Saeed, 2023). The coalition's vocal criticism of the Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf (PTI) government's foreign policy, particularly toward India, Afghanistan, and the United States, underscored its contention that military overreach had eroded Pakistan's diplomatic coherence and credibility. By highlighting how domestic

authoritarian trends could distort foreign relations, the PDM positioned itself as a movement advocating for a more transparent and parliamentary-led diplomatic process. This attempt to link internal political reform with external credibility resonated internationally, with global democracy advocates recognizing the PDM's rhetoric as an affirmation of the democratic aspirations within Pakistan's civil society. The movement thus served as both a domestic corrective to governance imbalances and a symbol of democratic persistence within South Asia's volatile political environment (Saeed, 2023).

Moreover, the PDM's stance on Pakistan's foreign policy was deeply intertwined with its critique of national security decision-making and the role of the military establishment. Coalition leaders repeatedly accused the PTI administration of allowing foreign policy to become an extension of the security paradigm, thereby marginalizing civilian institutions and parliamentary input (Hussain, 2023). The PDM's leadership, drawing from its own past governance experiences, advocated for diplomatic normalization with neighboring countries, especially India and Afghanistan, through negotiation and economic cooperation rather than confrontation. This perspective contrasted sharply with the PTI government's emphasis on defensive posturing and isolationist rhetoric, which the PDM argued had exacerbated regional mistrust (Khan, 2023). Furthermore, the movement underscored the need to rebuild Pakistan's international reputation by emphasizing consistent and credible diplomacy rooted in democratic consensus. Its call for re-engagement with Western allies and regional partners aligned with the broader global push for civilian-led policymaking, situating Pakistan's internal political transformation within an international context of democratic accountability and institutional transparency.

From a strategic standpoint, the PDM's rise reflected deeper geopolitical undercurrents affecting South Asia after 2020. The shifting dynamics following the U.S. withdrawal from Afghanistan, the rise of India's assertive regional diplomacy, and China's growing influence through the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) placed Pakistan in a position where political stability became a critical determinant of its international standing (Rizvi & Khan, 2022). International

observers, including Western diplomats and global think tanks, cautiously viewed the PDM as a potential force for democratic renewal capable of stabilizing Pakistan's domestic politics and enhancing its diplomatic consistency. The coalition's emphasis on civilian control over foreign policy was seen as a step toward normalizing Pakistan's relations with its neighbors and ensuring policy continuity beyond regime changes. However, the PDM's internal fractures and competing party agendas limited its ability to formulate a coherent foreign policy alternative, leading external stakeholders to perceive it as a movement strong in rhetoric but weak in strategic coordination (Ahmed, 2022). Despite these limitations, the PDM played a crucial role in broadening international understanding of Pakistan's democratic struggles, reinforcing the idea that civilian movements remain central to maintaining balance and legitimacy within the country's regional and global engagements.

5. Findings

The study identifies several key findings:

- 1. Catalyst for Democratic Engagement:** The PDM revitalized citizen participation and redefined opposition politics by linking governance critique with democratic ideals.
- 2. Coalition Fragility:** Ideological heterogeneity and leadership rivalries weakened the alliance's coherence, limiting its ability to sustain long-term pressure.
- 3. Institutional Pressure:** The coalition compelled state institutions, including the Election Commission and judiciary, to acknowledge accountability concerns.
- 4. Civil-Military Rebalancing:** By publicly contesting military involvement in politics, the PDM expanded the boundaries of permissible political discourse in Pakistan.
- 5. Polarization Effect:** The PDM simultaneously deepened political divisions, making bipartisan consensus on democratic reforms more difficult.

6. Conclusion and Recommendations

The Pakistan Democratic Movement (PDM) represents a significant episode in Pakistan's democratic evolution. Between 2020 and 2023, it successfully transformed political discourse by challenging centralized authority, amplifying citizen grievances, and advocating electoral reforms. Although internal divisions prevented it from achieving sustained political transformation, its impact on democratic awareness, institutional accountability, and civil activism remains profound. The PDM's contribution to Pakistan's political transformation cannot be understated. It reignited public debate over the nature of democratic governance, emphasizing accountability and transparency as foundational principles of legitimate authority. By mobilizing millions across urban and rural constituencies, it challenged not only the government's performance but also the broader structural imbalance between civil institutions and military influence. This movement reminded citizens of their participatory role in governance and the importance of collective political will in resisting authoritarian tendencies. Despite setbacks, the PDM succeeded in keeping democracy at the forefront of national consciousness during a time of growing political cynicism.

Another crucial aspect of the PDM's legacy lies in its redefinition of political alliances and opposition strategies. In uniting historically rival parties under a shared vision, it demonstrated that ideological divergence could coexist with a common commitment to democratic restoration. The coalition's engagement with traditional and digital media platforms diversified political communication, allowing the message of democracy to reach younger generations. This digital inclusion fostered a renewed culture of civic awareness and discourse, which is essential for democratic sustainability. However, the PDM's internal power struggles exposed the fragility of such alliances when personal or party interests overshadow national democratic objectives.

In the long term, the PDM experience serves as both a lesson and a warning for Pakistan's political future. The movement proved that political coalitions can act as effective checks on authoritarian drift, yet without structural unity and vision, they risk fragmentation. Sustainable democracy in Pakistan requires

institutionalized opposition mechanisms, intra-party democracy, and consistent policy alternatives rather than reactionary mobilizations. Future movements must evolve beyond resistance politics to constructive policymaking, bridging ideological divides for collective governance. The PDM may not have achieved all its immediate objectives, but it has undeniably reshaped Pakistan's democratic imagination and left a legacy of civic engagement that future generations can build upon.

Recommendations include:

1. Institutionalizing Coalition Governance

Future political coalitions in Pakistan should move beyond ad hoc alliances formed around opposition to a single ruling party. There is a need to institutionalize coalition governance through formal frameworks that define internal decision-making processes, leadership rotation, and conflict-resolution mechanisms. Establishing coalition charters and standing committees can help manage ideological diversity and prevent fragmentation. Such institutionalization would transform opposition alliances into credible political entities capable of policy continuity and long-term governance planning.

2. Strengthening Civil-Military Dialogue

A sustainable democratic framework requires transparent and constitutionally grounded relations between civil and military institutions. The PDM's criticism of military involvement in politics exposed the fragility of this balance. Moving forward, structured dialogue channels between civilian leadership and the military—monitored through parliamentary oversight—should be developed. These mechanisms can ensure that the military remains within its constitutional boundaries while enabling constructive cooperation on national security and governance issues.

3. Promoting Intra-Party Democracy

For coalitions like the PDM to remain credible, the constituent parties themselves must practice internal democracy. This includes transparent party elections, policy consultations, and merit-based leadership appointments. Empowering local and youth leadership through decentralized decision-making can ensure greater public representation. Internal

democracy not only strengthens party legitimacy but also enhances the quality of democratic culture in the broader political system.

4. Developing Policy-Based Agendas

One of the key weaknesses of the PDM was its heavy reliance on anti-incumbent rhetoric without presenting a comprehensive policy alternative. Future movements should design detailed policy platforms addressing economic recovery, social welfare, and governance reforms. Establishing specialized think tanks or research cells within political coalitions can support evidence-based policymaking and prevent movements from dissolving once immediate political goals are met.

5. Enhancing Digital Political Literacy

The PDM's success in mobilizing through digital platforms demonstrated the power of online political engagement. However, misinformation and echo chambers also distorted public discourse. To counter this, coalitions should invest in digital literacy campaigns that educate citizens about responsible online engagement, fact-checking, and media ethics. Encouraging transparency in digital communication can foster more informed and participatory political dialogue.

6. Encouraging Youth and Gender Inclusion

Pakistan's democratic development depends heavily on the active involvement of young people and women. Future political movements must ensure that their structures reflect demographic diversity. The creation of youth councils, women's caucuses, and student representation platforms within coalition frameworks would empower marginalized voices and expand democratic inclusivity. Such inclusivity not only enriches the political process but also increases the legitimacy of democratic institutions.

7. Building International Democratic Partnerships

Pakistan's political actors can benefit from engaging with international organizations and democratic institutions that promote transparency, election monitoring, and civic education. Collaborative initiatives with regional partners can enhance capacity-building and democratic resilience. By participating in global democratic forums, Pakistan

can exchange best practices on governance reform and strengthen its international credibility.

8. Long-Term Vision for Democratic Sustainability

Finally, opposition movements must evolve from reactive protests to proactive reform agendas. This requires cultivating political patience, civic responsibility, and a long-term vision for institutional integrity. Educational institutions, civil society, and media organizations should work in tandem to foster a democratic culture rooted in tolerance, accountability, and civic duty. Without such an enduring vision, democratic movements risk becoming episodic rather than transformative forces.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, S. (2022). *Civil-Military Relations in Pakistan: The Re-emergence of Political Coalitions*. Islamabad: Policy Perspectives Foundation.
- Ahmed, S., & Siddiqui, T. (2022). Media and Mobilization: The Pakistan Democratic Movement's Communication Strategy. *Asian Journal of Political Communication*, 11(2), 45–60.
- Ali, M., & Hussain, A. (2022). Coalition Challenges in Pakistan's Opposition Politics. *Pakistan Political Review*, 15(1), 67–82.
- Bashir, H. (2021). Electoral Reforms and Accountability in Pakistan's Political Framework. *South Asian Studies Journal*, 36(3), 221–239.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Hassan, F. (2021). Political Economy of Opposition Movements in South Asia. *Asian Political Studies*, 29(2), 98–112.
- Hussain, N. (2023). Democracy in Transition: Opposition Movements and Accountability in Pakistan. *Contemporary South Asia*, 31(1), 57–75.
- Khan, A. (2021). The Rise of the PDM and Political Mobilization in Pakistan. *Journal of Democratic Studies*, 17(2), 33–52.

- Khan, M. (2023). Public Opinion, Accountability, and the Opposition in Pakistan. *Political Dynamics Quarterly*, 19(1), 75–89.
- Khan, S., & Yaseen, F. (2021). Political Rivalry and Democratic Narratives in Pakistan. *Governance and Development Review*, 28(4), 14–27.
- Malik, R. (2022). Social Media and Political Transformation in Pakistan: The Case of PDM. *Digital Politics Review*, 8(3), 101–118.
- Rizvi, H. A. (2021). Opposition Coalitions and Democratic Resilience in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Political Science*, 42(1), 1–20.
- Rizvi, H. A., & Khan, N. (2022). Electoral Reforms and Democratic Integrity: Lessons from the PDM Movement. *Asian Governance Review*, 12(4), 65–81.
- Saeed, I. (2023). Pakistan's Foreign Policy and Domestic Politics: A Reassessment. *Journal of International Affairs*, 27(2), 33–47.
- Shah, S., & Abbas, M. (2023). Judicial Politics and Democratic Accountability in Pakistan. *Law and Society Review*, 59(2), 145–160.
- Yusuf, M. (2021). Opposition Alliances in South Asia: Comparative Lessons for Pakistan. *Democracy and Governance Studies*, 13(3), 87–102.

