Journal of Media Horizons
ISSN: 2710-4060 2710-4052 Volume 7, Issue 2, 2026

ALGORITHMIC AMPLIFICATION AND MISINFORMATION: A STUDY OF AI-DRIVEN NEWS ECOSYSTEMS AND PUBLIC OPINION FORMATION IN PAKISTAN

Dr Hassan Naseer[*1], Dr. Muhammad Umer[2]

[*1]*Assistant Professor, Department of Mass Communication, Virtual University*
[2]*Associate Professor, Department of Mass Communication, University of Peshawar*

[*1]hassan.naseer@vu.edu.pk, [2]muhammad.umer@uop.edu.pk

DOI:https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21066597

Keywords
Algorithmic amplification; artificial intelligence; misinformation; AI-driven news ecosystems; public opinion formation; Pakistan.

Article History
Received: 28 December 2025
Accepted: 06 February 2026
Published: 21 February 2026

Corresponding Author: *
Dr Hassan Naseer

Abstract
The rapid adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) has transformed digital news ecosystems by enabling algorithm-driven content recommendation and personalized information dissemination. While AI-powered recommendation systems improve access to relevant news, they also facilitate the algorithmic amplification of misleading and sensational content, thereby accelerating the spread of misinformation and influencing public opinion. This study examined the effect of algorithmic amplification on public opinion formation within Pakistan's AI-driven news ecosystem by investigating the mediating role of misinformation. Grounded in Media Dependency Theory, the study employed a quantitative, explanatory, and cross-sectional research design. Primary data were collected from 418 digital news consumers across Pakistan using a structured questionnaire based on validated measurement scales. The proposed conceptual model was analyzed using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM). The findings revealed that algorithmic amplification significantly influenced public opinion formation and positively increased exposure to misinformation. Misinformation was also found to have a significant positive effect on public opinion formation and partially mediated the relationship between algorithmic amplification and public opinion. These findings indicate that AI-driven recommendation systems shape citizens' attitudes and perceptions primarily by increasing the visibility and dissemination of misleading information within digital news environments. The study contributes to the literature by extending Media Dependency Theory to AI-enabled communication ecosystems and by providing empirical evidence from a developing country context. The findings offer practical implications for policymakers, digital platform providers, media organizations, and technology companies by emphasizing the need for algorithmic transparency, ethical AI governance, responsible content moderation, and enhanced digital media literacy to safeguard information integrity and promote informed public discourse in Pakistan.

INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) has fundamentally transformed the global information ecosystem by reshaping how news is produced, distributed, and consumed. AI-driven recommendation systems employed by social

media platforms, search engines, and digital news aggregators increasingly determine the visibility of news content through algorithmic personalization. These algorithms analyze users' preferences, browsing histories, engagement patterns, and demographic characteristics to deliver highly personalized news feeds. Although algorithmic curation enhances user experience by providing relevant content, it also increases the likelihood of selectively amplifying sensational, emotionally engaging, or controversial information, including misinformation and disinformation (Cinelli et al., 2021).

Algorithmic amplification refers to the automated prioritization and widespread dissemination of online content based on algorithmic ranking systems rather than editorial judgment. AI algorithms are designed to maximize user engagement by promoting content that generates higher levels of interaction, including likes, shares, comments, and viewing time. While these mechanisms improve platform efficiency and commercial performance, they may inadvertently accelerate the spread of misleading or false information. Consequently, misinformation often reaches larger audiences more rapidly than verified news, influencing public perceptions and societal discourse (Vosoughi et al., 2018).

The increasing prevalence of AI-driven news ecosystems has intensified concerns regarding misinformation, particularly during elections, public health emergencies, natural disasters, and periods of political instability. AI-powered recommendation systems can create information bubbles and echo chambers by repeatedly exposing users to ideologically consistent content while limiting exposure to diverse viewpoints. Such algorithmic filtering reinforces existing beliefs, increases political polarization, and weakens citizens' ability to critically evaluate information (Bakshy et al., 2015; Pariser, 2011).

Public opinion formation has traditionally been influenced by mass media institutions through agenda-setting, framing, and gatekeeping processes. However, AI-driven news ecosystems have significantly altered these dynamics by replacing human editorial decision-making with automated recommendation algorithms. Instead of receiving a common set of news narratives, individuals increasingly encounter personalized information environments that shape their attitudes, beliefs, and political opinions differently. Consequently, algorithmic amplification has emerged as a powerful determinant of public opinion in digital societies (Brennen et al., 2020).

Pakistan has experienced rapid growth in internet connectivity, smartphone penetration, and social media usage over the past decade. Platforms such as Facebook, YouTube, TikTok, X (formerly Twitter), Instagram, and AI-powered news aggregators have become primary sources of information for millions of citizens. Although digital platforms have expanded access to information, they have simultaneously facilitated the rapid dissemination of misinformation concerning politics, governance, religion, public health, national security, and socio-economic issues. The widespread circulation of misleading information has raised concerns regarding democratic processes, institutional trust, social cohesion, and informed public decision-making (Digital News Report, 2024).

The increasing integration of AI technologies into digital journalism has further complicated Pakistan's information environment. Automated news recommendation systems continuously optimize user engagement by promoting content likely to attract attention, regardless of its factual accuracy. Consequently, algorithmic amplification may unintentionally prioritize misinformation over credible journalism, thereby influencing citizens' perceptions and public opinion. Understanding this relationship has become increasingly important for policymakers, media organizations, technology companies, and regulatory authorities seeking to promote responsible AI governance and information integrity.

Although previous research has extensively examined misinformation, social media, and digital journalism independently, relatively limited empirical evidence exists regarding the influence of algorithmic amplification within AI-driven news ecosystems on public opinion formation in Pakistan. Existing studies have

primarily focused on developed countries with mature digital infrastructures, while developing economies present unique technological, institutional, political, and socio-cultural conditions that may shape the effects of algorithmic news distribution differently. This study addresses this research gap by investigating how algorithmic amplification influences public opinion formation through AI-driven news ecosystems within Pakistan's digital information environment. The findings are expected to contribute to the growing literature on artificial intelligence, digital media, political communication, and information governance while providing practical recommendations for improving digital media literacy, platform accountability, and responsible AI implementation.

Problem Statement

Artificial intelligence has transformed digital news distribution by enabling algorithm-driven content personalization across social media platforms, search engines, and online news applications. While AI-powered recommendation systems improve user engagement and information accessibility, they also increase the visibility of sensational, emotionally charged, and misleading content through algorithmic amplification. Consequently, misinformation spreads rapidly across digital platforms, influencing citizens' beliefs, political attitudes, and social perceptions.

Pakistan has witnessed substantial growth in digital media consumption, making AI-driven news ecosystems an increasingly important source of public information. However, the widespread dissemination of misinformation through algorithmically curated news feeds poses significant challenges for democratic governance, public trust, social cohesion, and informed decision-making. Existing regulatory mechanisms and media literacy initiatives remain insufficient to address the complex interactions between AI technologies, misinformation dissemination, and public opinion formation.

Although previous studies have examined misinformation and social media separately, limited empirical research has investigated how algorithmic amplification within AI-driven news ecosystems shapes public opinion in Pakistan. Furthermore, the mechanisms through which misinformation influences public opinion under AI-based news recommendation systems remain inadequately understood. Addressing this research gap is essential for developing evidence-based policies that promote responsible AI governance, strengthen digital information integrity, and enhance citizens' resilience against misinformation.

Research Questions

How does algorithmic amplification influence public opinion formation in Pakistan?

What is the effect of algorithmic amplification on misinformation within AI-driven news ecosystems?

How does misinformation influence public opinion formation?

Does misinformation mediate the relationship between algorithmic amplification and public opinion formation?

What policy interventions can reduce algorithmic misinformation and promote responsible AI-driven news ecosystems in Pakistan?

Research Objectives

To examine the effect of algorithmic amplification on public opinion formation in Pakistan.

To investigate the influence of algorithmic amplification on misinformation within AI-driven news ecosystems.

To evaluate the effect of misinformation on public opinion formation.

To examine the mediating role of misinformation in the relationship between algorithmic amplification and public opinion formation.

To propose policy recommendations for promoting responsible AI-driven news ecosystems and reducing misinformation in Pakistan.

Significance of the Study

This study contributes to the expanding body of knowledge on artificial intelligence, digital journalism, algorithmic communication, and political communication by examining how algorithmic amplification influences public

opinion through misinformation in AI-driven news ecosystems. It advances theoretical understanding of the relationship between AI technologies, automated content recommendation, information exposure, and public perception within developing countries.

The findings provide valuable insights for policymakers responsible for regulating digital platforms and artificial intelligence. Evidence from this study can support the development of regulatory frameworks promoting algorithmic transparency, platform accountability, and responsible AI governance while protecting freedom of expression and information integrity.

Media organizations and digital news platforms will benefit from a better understanding of how algorithmic recommendation systems shape audience behavior and influence public discourse. The findings may encourage the adoption of responsible content moderation strategies, AI auditing mechanisms, and ethical algorithm design that reduce misinformation amplification.

Technology companies developing AI-powered recommendation systems can utilize the findings to improve algorithm fairness, transparency, and content verification processes while balancing user engagement with societal responsibility.

The study also highlights the importance of strengthening digital media literacy among citizens. Improved critical evaluation skills can reduce susceptibility to misinformation and promote informed participation in democratic processes. Consequently, educational institutions, civil society organizations, and media development agencies may utilize the findings to design effective media literacy programs.

Finally, this research provides a comprehensive empirical framework for future investigations examining AI-driven communication, misinformation, algorithmic governance, digital trust, and public opinion formation across emerging digital societies.

Literature Review

Algorithmic Amplification

Algorithmic amplification refers to the process through which artificial intelligence (AI)-driven algorithms prioritize, rank, and disseminate digital content based on users' behavioral data, engagement history, preferences, and interaction patterns. Modern social media platforms and digital news applications increasingly rely on machine learning algorithms to personalize information delivery with the objective of maximizing user engagement and platform retention. Although these recommendation systems improve content relevance and accessibility, they frequently amplify emotionally charged, sensational, or controversial information because such content generates higher levels of user interaction (Cinelli et al., 2021).

Recent advances in generative AI, recommender systems, and predictive analytics have further strengthened the influence of algorithmic amplification on digital information ecosystems. AI models continuously learn from users' online activities and adapt news recommendations in real time, thereby creating highly individualized information environments. While personalized recommendations enhance user experience, they may also reduce exposure to diverse perspectives and reinforce confirmation bias through repeated presentation of ideologically consistent information (Shin, 2021).

Several empirical studies have demonstrated that algorithmic amplification significantly shapes information visibility, agenda setting, and online discourse. Consequently, AI-powered recommendation systems have become influential actors in determining which news stories receive widespread public attention, thereby affecting democratic communication and public knowledge formation (Zarouali et al., 2023).

AI-Driven News Ecosystems

AI-driven news ecosystems represent digitally interconnected environments where artificial intelligence technologies facilitate news production, verification, recommendation, distribution, and audience engagement. These ecosystems integrate machine learning, natural language processing, predictive analytics, and automated content recommendation to personalize information delivery across social media platforms, search engines, and digital news aggregators (Brennen et al., 2020).

Unlike traditional journalism, AI-driven news ecosystems minimize human editorial gatekeeping by relying on algorithmic decision-making to determine news visibility. Automated recommendation systems continuously analyze audience behavior and prioritize content based on predicted engagement rather than journalistic significance. Consequently, AI has fundamentally altered the relationship between media organizations and news consumers by increasing personalization while simultaneously reducing exposure to diverse viewpoints (Newman et al., 2024).

Although AI-driven journalism improves the speed and accessibility of information dissemination, scholars have raised concerns regarding transparency, algorithmic accountability, and ethical governance. AI systems may unintentionally prioritize misinformation because emotionally engaging content often generates higher engagement metrics than verified factual reporting. These developments have intensified concerns regarding digital information integrity and responsible AI deployment in journalism.

Misinformation

Misinformation refers to false or misleading information that is disseminated regardless of whether there is an intention to deceive. The rapid expansion of AI-driven digital platforms has substantially accelerated the spread of misinformation by enabling algorithmic amplification of inaccurate content to large audiences within short periods. Unlike traditional media environments where editorial verification functions as a quality control mechanism, digital platforms often prioritize engagement over factual accuracy (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017).

Research has consistently shown that misinformation spreads faster than verified information because emotionally appealing, surprising, and controversial content attracts greater user interaction. Vosoughi et al. (2018) found that false information diffuses significantly more rapidly than truthful information across social media networks. Recent developments in generative AI, synthetic media, and deepfake technologies have further complicated misinformation detection by enabling the production of highly convincing but fabricated digital content (World Economic Forum, 2024).

Within Pakistan, misinformation has become increasingly prevalent during elections, public health crises, religious events, and national security incidents. The widespread circulation of misleading information through AI-powered recommendation systems has raised concerns regarding political polarization, institutional trust, and social cohesion. Consequently, misinformation has become one of the most significant challenges confronting Pakistan's digital information environment.

Public Opinion Formation

Public opinion formation refers to the process through which individuals develop attitudes, beliefs, judgments, and preferences regarding political, social, economic, and public policy issues. Traditionally, newspapers, television, and radio functioned as primary agents influencing public opinion through agenda-setting and framing. However, AI-driven news ecosystems have transformed this process by replacing editorial gatekeeping with algorithmic personalization (McCombs, 2004).

Personalized news feeds expose individuals to selectively curated information that aligns with their previous behaviors and ideological preferences. Repeated exposure to similar narratives reinforces existing beliefs while reducing opportunities for critical evaluation of alternative viewpoints. This phenomenon contributes to opinion polarization and the formation of digital echo chambers that influence democratic participation and public discourse (Sunstein, 2018).

Empirical studies suggest that algorithmic news recommendation increasingly influences citizens' political attitudes, trust in institutions, voting intentions, and perceptions of public issues. Consequently, understanding the relationship between AI-driven news ecosystems and public opinion formation has become essential for safeguarding democratic communication and promoting informed citizenship.

Relationship between Algorithmic Amplification and Misinformation

Existing literature consistently demonstrates that algorithmic amplification significantly increases the visibility and dissemination of misinformation. AI recommendation systems prioritize content generating high engagement regardless of factual accuracy, thereby unintentionally promoting misleading information. Machine learning algorithms optimize recommendation models using behavioral signals such as shares, comments, viewing duration, and click-through rates rather than credibility indicators (Cinelli et al., 2021).

Recent empirical evidence indicates that emotionally charged misinformation consistently receives higher algorithmic visibility than factual information because it stimulates stronger emotional responses and user engagement. Consequently, algorithmic amplification has become a major contributor to the rapid diffusion of misinformation across digital platforms (Pennycook & Rand, 2021).

Relationship between Misinformation and Public Opinion Formation

Misinformation significantly influences public opinion by altering individuals' perceptions of political events, public institutions, government performance, and societal issues. Repeated exposure to false information gradually shapes citizens' attitudes and beliefs even when misinformation is subsequently corrected. Psychological studies suggest that familiarity resulting from repeated exposure increases perceived credibility, thereby strengthening misinformation's influence on public judgment (Pennycook & Rand, 2021).

In Pakistan, misinformation has affected public perceptions regarding elections, governance, vaccination campaigns, economic policies, and national security. The increasing dependence on AI-curated news platforms further amplifies these effects by repeatedly exposing individuals to ideologically consistent narratives. Consequently, misinformation has become an important determinant of public opinion within AI-driven digital environments.

Mediating Role of Misinformation

Misinformation is expected to mediate the relationship between algorithmic amplification and public opinion formation. Algorithmic amplification primarily affects public opinion by increasing users' exposure to misleading information. AI recommendation systems continuously prioritize engaging content, which frequently includes misinformation. As individuals encounter such information repeatedly, it influences their beliefs, attitudes, and decision-making processes.

Thus, misinformation represents the primary mechanism through which algorithmic amplification shapes public opinion within AI-driven news ecosystems. Examining this mediating relationship provides deeper insights into the causal processes underlying digital information influence.

Research Gap

Although extensive literature has examined misinformation, algorithmic recommendation systems, social media, and public opinion independently, relatively limited empirical evidence has integrated these variables into a unified conceptual framework. Most existing studies focus on developed countries, particularly the United States and Europe, where digital media infrastructures, regulatory systems, and media literacy differ considerably from those in developing economies.

Within Pakistan, empirical investigations examining the influence of AI-driven algorithmic amplification on misinformation and public opinion formation remain scarce. Furthermore, previous research has primarily emphasized social media usage rather than AI-powered recommendation systems that increasingly determine information visibility. Limited attention has also been given to understanding misinformation as the underlying mechanism linking algorithmic amplification with public opinion.

Addressing these research gaps contributes to communication studies, artificial intelligence, digital journalism, media psychology, and information governance by providing empirical

evidence from Pakistan's rapidly evolving digital information ecosystem.

Underpinning Theory

Media Dependency Theory

This study is underpinned by Media Dependency Theory, developed by Ball-Rokeach and DeFleur (1976). The theory proposes that individuals become increasingly dependent on media systems for information, orientation, and understanding of social reality, particularly during periods of uncertainty, political change, or technological transformation. The greater an individual's dependence on media, the greater the media's influence on attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors.

Within AI-driven news ecosystems, dependence extends beyond traditional media institutions to algorithmically curated digital platforms. Artificial intelligence determines the selection, prioritization, and personalization of information presented to users, thereby influencing the information upon which individuals rely for decision-making. As dependence on AI-powered news platforms increases, algorithmic amplification becomes increasingly influential in shaping public opinion.

Media Dependency Theory provides an appropriate theoretical foundation because it explains how AI-driven news ecosystems strengthen individuals' reliance on algorithmically curated information. Misinformation serves as the mechanism through which algorithmic amplification influences citizens' perceptions, while dependence on AI-mediated information environments enhances the overall impact of digital communication on public opinion formation.

Hypotheses

H1: Algorithmic amplification has a significant positive effect on public opinion formation.

H2: Algorithmic amplification has a significant positive effect on misinformation.

H3: Misinformation has a significant positive effect on public opinion formation.

H4: Misinformation mediates the relationship between algorithmic amplification and public opinion formation.

H5: Digital media literacy moderates the relationship between misinformation and public opinion formation such that the positive effect of misinformation on public opinion formation is weaker among individuals with higher levels of digital media literacy.

Methodology

Research Design

The study employed a quantitative, explanatory, and cross-sectional research design to examine the influence of algorithmic amplification on public opinion formation, with misinformation serving as the mediating variable. A quantitative approach was adopted because it enabled the objective measurement of relationships among the study variables and facilitated hypothesis testing using advanced statistical techniques. The explanatory design was appropriate for investigating causal relationships, while the cross-sectional approach allowed data to be collected from respondents at a single point in time, reflecting their perceptions and experiences with AI-driven news ecosystems in Pakistan.

Population

The target population comprised Pakistani internet users who regularly consumed news through AI-driven digital platforms, including Facebook, YouTube, X (formerly Twitter), Instagram, TikTok, Google News, and online news applications. The study focused on individuals aged 18 years and above who had experience using digital news platforms for obtaining information related to politics, governance, public affairs, economics, health, and social issues. These respondents were considered appropriate because they actively interacted with algorithmically curated news content and were therefore capable of evaluating the influence of AI-driven news ecosystems on their perceptions and opinions.

Sampling Technique

A stratified random sampling technique was employed to ensure adequate representation of respondents from different demographic groups, including age, gender, educational background,

occupation, and geographical regions of Pakistan. Initially, respondents were categorized into strata based on these demographic characteristics. Thereafter, participants were randomly selected from each stratum to minimize sampling bias and enhance the representativeness of the sample. This approach increased the generalizability and external validity of the study findings.

Sample Size

The sample size was determined based on recommendations for Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), which requires an adequate number of observations for reliable estimation of mediation effects. Considering the complexity of the proposed conceptual framework, a sample exceeding 300 respondents was deemed appropriate.

Accordingly, 500 structured questionnaires were distributed among digital news consumers across major cities of Pakistan, including Islamabad, Lahore, Karachi, Peshawar, Quetta, Faisalabad, Multan, and Hyderabad. After data screening and the removal of incomplete and inconsistent responses, 418 valid questionnaires were retained for final statistical analysis, resulting in an effective response rate of 83.6%. The final sample size exceeded the minimum requirements for Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) and ensured sufficient statistical power for hypothesis testing.

Data Collection Procedures

Primary data were collected using a structured self-administered questionnaire. Prior to the main survey, the questionnaire was reviewed by experts in communication studies, artificial intelligence, media studies, and quantitative research to ensure content validity, clarity, and contextual relevance. A pilot study involving 35 respondents was conducted to evaluate the reliability and comprehensibility of the instrument. Minor revisions were incorporated based on expert feedback and pilot study results.

The finalized questionnaire was distributed electronically through email, university networks, professional organizations, and social media platforms. Participation was voluntary, and respondents were informed about the purpose of the study before providing informed consent. Confidentiality and anonymity were ensured throughout the research process, and respondents were assured that the collected data would be used exclusively for academic purposes.

Instruments/Measures

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire consisting of two sections. The first section gathered respondents' demographic information, including age, gender, educational qualification, occupation, average daily internet usage, and preferred digital news platforms.

The second section measured the study constructs using previously validated multi-item scales adapted from established literature. All items were measured using a five-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree.

Algorithmic Amplification was measured using six items adapted from Shin (2021), Zarouali et al. (2023), and recent studies on AI-powered recommendation systems. The scale assessed respondents' perceptions regarding personalized news recommendations, content prioritization, engagement-based news ranking, algorithmic filtering, and exposure to AI-curated news content.

Misinformation was measured using six items adapted from Wardle and Derakhshan (2017), Pennycook and Rand (2021), and Brennen et al. (2020). The scale evaluated respondents' exposure to misleading news, perceived credibility of false information, frequency of misinformation encounters, and susceptibility to inaccurate digital content.

Public Opinion Formation was measured using six items adapted from McCombs (2004), Sunstein (2018), and contemporary digital communication literature. The instrument assessed the extent to which AI-driven news exposure influenced respondents' political attitudes, perceptions of public issues, trust in institutions, and decision-making.

Reliability and Validity

The reliability and validity of the measurement model were evaluated before testing the structural relationships. Internal consistency reliability was

assessed using Cronbach's alpha (α) and Composite Reliability (CR). Values exceeding 0.70 indicated satisfactory internal consistency among the measurement items.

Convergent validity was examined through Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) within the Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) framework. Standardized factor loadings greater than 0.70, Composite Reliability values above 0.70, and Average Variance Extracted (AVE) values exceeding 0.50 confirmed acceptable convergent validity.

Discriminant validity was assessed using both the Fornell–Larcker criterion and the Heterotrait–Monotrait (HTMT) ratio. Discriminant validity was considered satisfactory when the square root of each construct's AVE exceeded its correlations with other constructs and HTMT values remained below the recommended threshold of 0.85.

To assess common method bias, Harman's single-factor test and Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) analyses were conducted. The results indicated that no single factor accounted for the majority of the variance, and all VIF values were below the recommended threshold of 3.3, suggesting that common method bias was not a significant concern.

The structural model was subsequently evaluated using PLS-SEM with a bootstrapping procedure of 5,000 resamples to estimate direct, indirect (mediation), and overall path effects. Model quality was assessed using the coefficient of determination (R^2), effect size (f^2), predictive relevance (Q^2), and the Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR). These procedures ensured the robustness, reliability, and validity of the empirical findings and provided a rigorous basis for testing the proposed hypotheses.

Data Analysis

Respondents' Demographic Profile

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Respondents (N = 418)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	235	56.2
	Female	183	43.8
Age	18–25 years	92	22.0
	26–35 years	156	37.3
	36–45 years	98	23.4
	Above 45 years	72	17.2
Education	Bachelor's	128	30.6
	Master's	212	50.7
	MPhil/PhD	78	18.7
Daily Internet Use	1–3 Hours	66	15.8
	4–6 Hours	168	40.2
	More than 6 Hours	184	44.0

Table 1 indicates that 56.2% of the respondents were male and 43.8% were female, reflecting balanced participation. The largest age group (37.3%) comprised respondents between 26 and 35 years, representing active users of digital news platforms. More than half (50.7%) possessed a master's degree, indicating a well-educated sample capable of critically evaluating AI-driven news ecosystems. Furthermore, 44.0% reported using the internet for more than six hours daily, suggesting frequent exposure to algorithmically curated news content.

Descriptive Statistics

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics

Construct	Mean	SD	Skewness	Kurtosis
Algorithmic Amplification	4.18	0.61	-0.54	0.71
Misinformation	4.05	0.66	-0.46	0.63
Public Opinion Formation	4.11	0.59	-0.52	0.76

The mean values exceeded 4.00 for all constructs, indicating respondents generally agreed that AI-driven algorithms influence news visibility, misinformation dissemination, and public opinion. Standard deviation values below one demonstrate consistency in responses. Skewness and kurtosis values were within acceptable limits (±2), indicating that the data approximated a normal distribution.

Reliability and Convergent Validity

Table 3: Reliability and Validity Assessment

Construct	Cronbach's Alpha	Composite Reliability	AVE
Algorithmic Amplification	0.921	0.937	0.713
Misinformation	0.913	0.931	0.695
Public Opinion Formation	0.926	0.940	0.726

Cronbach's alpha and Composite Reliability values exceeded the recommended threshold of 0.70, indicating excellent internal consistency. AVE values above 0.50 confirmed satisfactory convergent validity, demonstrating that the measurement items adequately represented their respective constructs.

Discriminant Validity

Table 4: Fornell–Larcker Criterion

Construct	AA	MIS	POF
Algorithmic Amplification	**0.844**		
Misinformation	0.662	**0.834**	
Public Opinion Formation	0.601	0.719	**0.852**

The square root of the AVE for each construct exceeded its correlations with other constructs, confirming satisfactory discriminant validity according to the Fornell–Larcker criterion.

Structural Model Assessment

Coefficient of Determination (R^2)

Table 5

Endogenous Construct	R^2
Misinformation	0.44
Public Opinion Formation	0.67

Algorithmic amplification explained 44% of the variance in misinformation. Together, algorithmic amplification and misinformation explained 67% of the variance in public opinion formation,

indicating substantial explanatory power of the proposed model.

Hypothesis Testing

Table 6: **Direct Effects**

Hypothesis	Structural Path	β	t-value	p-value	Decision
H1	Algorithmic Amplification → Public Opinion Formation	0.284	5.71	<0.001	Supported
H2	Algorithmic Amplification → Misinformation	0.664	15.82	<0.001	Supported
H3	Misinformation → Public Opinion Formation	0.523	9.64	<0.001	Supported

The findings demonstrated that algorithmic amplification significantly influenced public opinion formation ($\beta = 0.284$, $p < 0.001$), supporting H1. Algorithmic amplification also exhibited a strong positive effect on misinformation ($\beta = 0.664$, $p < 0.001$), confirming H2. Moreover, misinformation significantly influenced public opinion formation ($\beta = 0.523$, $p < 0.001$), providing empirical support for H3. These results indicate that AI-powered recommendation systems increase exposure to misinformation, which subsequently shapes citizens' opinions on public issues.

Mediation Analysis

Table 7

Indirect Effect

Indirect Relationship	β	t-value	p-value	Decision
Algorithmic Amplification → Misinformation → Public Opinion Formation	0.347	7.81	<0.001	Supported

The indirect effect was statistically significant, indicating that misinformation partially mediated the relationship between algorithmic amplification and public opinion formation. This finding suggests that algorithmic amplification influences public opinion not only directly but also indirectly by increasing users' exposure to misleading information within AI-driven news ecosystems.

Effect Size

Table 8

Relationship	f^2	Effect Size
Algorithmic Amplification → Misinformation	0.79	Large
Misinformation → Public Opinion Formation	0.41	Large
Algorithmic Amplification → Public Opinion Formation	0.16	Medium

Algorithmic amplification demonstrated a large practical effect on misinformation, indicating that AI-based recommendation systems substantially contributed to misinformation dissemination. Misinformation also exerted a large effect on public opinion formation, highlighting its central role in shaping citizens' perceptions. The direct effect of algorithmic amplification on public opinion formation was moderate, suggesting that much of its influence operates through misinformation.

Predictive Relevance

Table 9

Construct	Q^2
Misinformation	0.301
Public Opinion Formation	0.451

The Q^2 values exceeded zero for both endogenous constructs, confirming that the structural model possessed satisfactory predictive relevance and strong out-of-sample predictive capability.

Model Fit

Table 10

Fit Index	Obtained Value	Recommended Value
SRMR	0.049	< 0.08
NFI	0.928	> 0.90

The SRMR value of 0.049 and the NFI value of 0.928 indicate that the proposed structural model achieved a satisfactory overall fit, supporting the adequacy of the theoretical framework.

Summary of Hypothesis Testing

Table 11

Hypothesis	Statement	Decision
H1	Algorithmic amplification positively influences public opinion formation.	Supported
H2	Algorithmic amplification positively influences misinformation.	Supported
H3	Misinformation positively influences public opinion formation.	Supported
H4	Misinformation mediates the relationship between algorithmic amplification and public opinion formation.	Supported

The findings indicate that algorithmic amplification is a significant driver of public opinion formation within Pakistan's AI-driven news ecosystem. AI-powered recommendation systems were found to increase the visibility and dissemination of content that attracts higher user engagement, including misleading or inaccurate information. As exposure to misinformation increased, respondents reported greater influence on their perceptions, attitudes, and opinions regarding social, political, and public affairs. The mediation analysis further revealed that misinformation serves as the principal mechanism through which algorithmic amplification shapes public opinion. Overall, these findings suggest that AI-driven content recommendation systems, while enhancing personalized news consumption, may inadvertently facilitate the spread of misinformation and thereby influence public discourse. The results underscore the need for greater algorithmic transparency, responsible AI governance, improved content moderation, and enhanced digital media literacy to safeguard information integrity and promote informed public opinion in Pakistan.

Discussion

The present study investigated the influence of algorithmic amplification on public opinion formation within Pakistan's AI-driven news ecosystem by examining the mediating role of misinformation. The empirical findings revealed

that algorithmic amplification significantly influenced public opinion formation, thereby supporting Hypothesis 1. This finding indicates that AI-powered recommendation systems increasingly shape citizens' exposure to information by prioritizing content based on engagement metrics rather than editorial judgment. As users consume algorithmically curated news feeds, their perceptions, attitudes, and judgments regarding political, social, and economic issues are influenced by the information selected and promoted by AI systems. These findings are consistent with previous studies, which argued that algorithmic curation has become a major determinant of digital information visibility and public discourse (Cinelli et al., 2021; Zarouali et al., 2023). The results also support Media Dependency Theory, suggesting that increasing reliance on AI-mediated information environments strengthens the influence of digital media on public opinion.

The study further demonstrated that algorithmic amplification significantly increased misinformation, supporting Hypothesis 2. AI-driven recommendation systems were found to amplify content that generates higher levels of user engagement, regardless of its factual accuracy. Because sensational and emotionally charged information often attracts greater interaction, algorithms unintentionally increase the visibility and dissemination of misleading content. This finding aligns with Vosoughi et al. (2018), who reported that false information spreads more rapidly than truthful information across digital platforms. Similarly, Pennycook and Rand (2021) emphasized that repeated exposure to misinformation increases its perceived credibility and facilitates its diffusion throughout online networks. The results suggest that algorithmic amplification has become a key structural factor contributing to the proliferation of misinformation in Pakistan's digital information ecosystem.

The findings also confirmed that misinformation significantly influenced public opinion formation, supporting Hypothesis 3. Exposure to misleading or inaccurate information affected respondents' attitudes, beliefs, and perceptions regarding public affairs, governance, and societal issues. Repeated interaction with misinformation appeared to reinforce cognitive biases and shape individuals' understanding of political and social realities. These findings are consistent with Media Dependency Theory, which posits that individuals relying heavily on media for information become increasingly susceptible to media influences on beliefs and behaviors. The results further support previous empirical research demonstrating that misinformation significantly affects political attitudes, institutional trust, and democratic participation (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017; Sunstein, 2018).

A major contribution of this study is the confirmation of the mediating role of misinformation, supporting Hypothesis 4. The results demonstrated that algorithmic amplification influenced public opinion primarily by increasing users' exposure to misleading information. Rather than directly shaping public opinion, AI recommendation systems first amplified misinformation, which subsequently altered citizens' attitudes and perceptions. This finding extends previous research by identifying misinformation as the principal mechanism linking AI-driven algorithmic recommendation systems with public opinion formation. The mediation effect also strengthens the explanatory power of Media Dependency Theory by illustrating how dependence on algorithmically curated information environments increases vulnerability to misinformation and its subsequent influence on public opinion.

Overall, the findings suggest that AI-driven news ecosystems have fundamentally transformed the processes through which public opinion is formed in Pakistan. While artificial intelligence enhances personalized information access and user engagement, it simultaneously increases the likelihood of misinformation dissemination and opinion manipulation when algorithmic transparency and content governance remain inadequate. These findings underscore the importance of responsible AI governance, algorithmic accountability, and digital media literacy in protecting information integrity within emerging digital democracies.

Conclusion

This study examined the relationship between algorithmic amplification, misinformation, and public opinion formation within Pakistan's AI-driven news ecosystem. The findings confirmed that algorithmic amplification significantly influences public opinion both directly and indirectly by increasing exposure to misinformation. AI-powered recommendation systems prioritize highly engaging content, which frequently includes misleading or inaccurate information, thereby affecting citizens' beliefs, attitudes, and perceptions of public issues.

The study further established that misinformation functions as an important mediating mechanism through which algorithmic amplification shapes public opinion. These findings indicate that the societal impact of AI-driven news ecosystems extends beyond technological innovation to include significant implications for democratic communication, public trust, and information integrity.

Grounded in Media Dependency Theory, the study concludes that increasing dependence on AI-curated information environments strengthens the influence of algorithmic recommendation systems on public opinion. Consequently, improving algorithmic transparency, enhancing digital media literacy, strengthening fact-checking mechanisms, and promoting ethical AI governance are essential for reducing misinformation and fostering an informed digital society in Pakistan.

Implications

Theoretical Implications

The study contributes to the literature on artificial intelligence, digital journalism, communication studies, and political communication by extending Media Dependency Theory to AI-driven news ecosystems. It demonstrates that misinformation serves as a critical explanatory mechanism through which algorithmic amplification influences public opinion formation. The findings provide an integrated theoretical framework linking AI-powered recommendation systems, misinformation dissemination, and public opinion within developing countries.

Practical Implications

The findings provide important insights for digital media organizations, technology companies, journalists, and fact-checking organizations. AI-powered news platforms should redesign recommendation algorithms to prioritize information credibility alongside user engagement. Media organizations should strengthen editorial verification procedures, invest in AI-assisted fact-checking technologies, and promote responsible digital journalism to reduce misinformation dissemination.

Policy Implications

The study offers valuable guidance for policymakers and regulatory authorities in Pakistan. Government agencies should establish comprehensive AI governance frameworks promoting algorithmic transparency, platform accountability, and ethical AI deployment. Regulatory authorities should encourage digital platforms to disclose content recommendation practices, implement misinformation detection systems, and collaborate with independent fact-checking organizations. Educational institutions and public agencies should expand digital media literacy initiatives that strengthen citizens' ability to critically evaluate online information and recognize misleading content.

Recommendations

Government regulatory authorities should formulate national policies requiring greater transparency and accountability in AI-driven recommendation algorithms used by digital platforms.

Social media companies and digital news platforms should integrate AI-assisted fact-checking systems capable of identifying and reducing the visibility of misinformation before widespread dissemination.

Technology companies should redesign recommendation algorithms to balance user engagement with information credibility by incorporating verified news sources and trusted journalism into content ranking mechanisms.

Media organizations should strengthen editorial oversight and collaborate with independent fact-

checking institutions to improve the accuracy and credibility of online news content.

Universities, schools, and educational institutions should incorporate digital media literacy and AI literacy into academic curricula to improve citizens' ability to critically evaluate online information.

Government agencies, civil society organizations, and media development institutions should conduct nationwide public awareness campaigns regarding misinformation, algorithmic manipulation, and responsible social media use.

Researchers and policymakers should establish collaborative partnerships with technology companies to evaluate the societal impacts of AI-powered recommendation systems and develop evidence-based regulatory strategies.

Limitations and Future Directions

Several limitations should be considered when interpreting the findings of this study. First, the study adopted a cross-sectional research design, which limited the ability to examine changes in algorithmic influence and public opinion over time. Future research should employ longitudinal designs to investigate how AI-driven recommendation systems influence public opinion across different stages of political events, elections, or public crises.

Second, the study relied primarily on self-reported questionnaire data, which may be subject to response bias despite procedural safeguards implemented to reduce common method variance. Future studies should integrate behavioral analytics, digital trace data, platform usage records, and experimental research designs to obtain more objective measures of algorithmic exposure and misinformation consumption.

Third, the study focused exclusively on Pakistan, limiting the generalizability of the findings to other national contexts. Comparative cross-country studies involving both developed and developing economies would provide deeper insights into the influence of cultural, political, and regulatory differences on AI-driven news ecosystems.

Fourth, misinformation was examined as the sole mediating variable. Future studies should investigate additional mediating mechanisms such as information overload, perceived credibility, emotional engagement, selective exposure, trust in digital media, and cognitive bias to provide a more comprehensive explanation of public opinion formation.

Finally, future research should examine emerging technological developments, including generative artificial intelligence, deepfakes, large language models, synthetic media, AI-generated political communication, algorithmic auditing, explainable AI, and blockchain-based news verification systems. Investigating these technologies will advance understanding of responsible AI governance and contribute to the development of secure, transparent, and trustworthy digital information ecosystems capable of protecting democratic communication and public trust.

References

Altoe, F., Moreira, C., Pinto, H. S., & Jorge, J. A. (2024). Online fake news opinion spread and belief change: A systematic review. *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies, 2024*, Article 1069670. (Wiley Online Library)

Bakshy, E., Messing, S., & Adamic, L. A. (2015). Exposure to ideologically diverse news and opinion on Facebook. *Science, 348*(6239), 1130–1132.

Ball-Rokeach, S. J., & DeFleur, M. L. (1976). A dependency model of mass-media effects. *Communication Research, 3*(1), 3–21.

Brennen, J. S., Simon, F. M., Howard, P. N., & Nielsen, R. K. (2020). Types, sources, and claims of COVID-19 misinformation. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism.

Broda, E., & Strömbäck, J. (2024). Misinformation, disinformation, and fake news: Lessons from an interdisciplinary, systematic literature review. *Annals of the International Communication Association, 48*(2), 139–166. (OUP Academic)

Cinelli, M., Morales, G. D. F., Galeazzi, A., Quattrociocchi, W., & Starnini, M. (2021). The echo chamber effect on social media. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118*(9), e2023301118.

Corsi, G. (2024). Evaluating Twitter's algorithmic amplification of low-credibility content: An observational study. *EPJ Data Science, 13*, Article 18. (Springer)

Khalil, H. (2024). Algorithmic bias and political polarization: Analyzing the role of news aggregators and social media in Pakistan. *Pakistan Languages and Humanities Review, 8*(2), 755–768. (Pakistan Languages and Humanities Review)

McCombs, M. (2004). *Setting the agenda: The mass media and public opinion*. Polity Press.

McLoughlin, K. L., & Brady, W. J. (2024). Human-algorithm interactions help explain the spread of misinformation. *Current Opinion in Psychology, 56*, Article 101770. (ScienceDirect)

Munusamy, S., Syasyila, K., Shaari, A. A. H., Pitchan, M. A., Kamaluddin, M. R., & Jatnika, R. (2024). Psychological factors contributing to the creation and dissemination of fake news among social media users: A systematic review. *BMC Psychology, 12*(1), 673. (PubMed)

Newman, N., Fletcher, R., Robertson, C. T., Eddy, K., & Nielsen, R. K. (2024). *Reuters Institute Digital News Report 2024*.

Pariser, E. (2011). *The filter bubble: What the Internet is hiding from you*. Penguin Press.

Pennycook, G., & Rand, D. G. (2021). The psychology of fake news. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 25*(5), 388–402.

Raza, A., & Aslam, M. W. (2024). Algorithmic curation in Facebook: An investigation into the role of AI in forming political polarization and misinformation in Pakistan. *Annals of Human and Social Sciences, 5*(2), 219–232. (Annals of Human and Social Sciences)

Shin, D. (2021). The effects of explainability and causability on perception, trust, and acceptance: Implications for explainable AI. *International Journal of Human–Computer Studies, 146*, 102551.

Shin, D., & Akhtar, F. (2024). Algorithmic inoculation against misinformation: How to build cognitive immunity against misinformation. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media, 68*(2), 153–175. (Taylor & Francis Online)

Sunstein, C. R. (2018). *#Republic: Divided democracy in the age of social media*. Princeton University Press.

UNESCO. (2023). *Guidelines for the governance of digital platforms*.

Vosoughi, S., Roy, D., & Aral, S. (2018). The spread of true and false news online. *Science, 359*(6380), 1146–1151.

Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017). *Information disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary framework for research and policy making*. Council of Europe.

World Economic Forum. (2024). *The Global Risks Report 2024*.

Zarouali, B., Dobber, T., de Pauw, G., & de Vreese, C. H. (2023). Using a personality-profiling algorithm to investigate political microtargeting and persuasion. *New Media & Society, 25*(8), 1964–1986.