Journal of Media Horizons
ISSN: 2710-4060 2710-4052 Volume 7, Issue 4, 2026

ALGORITHMIC NEWS CURATION, MISINFORMATION EXPOSURE, AND PUBLIC TRUST: A STUDY OF DIGITAL MEDIA CONSUMPTION IN PAKISTAN

Sameen Amjad[*1], Rida Zafar[2], Muhammad Suliman[3]

[*1,2]*Department of Media Sciences, Riphah International University, Pakistan*
[3]*Associate Professor, Department of Journalism, University of Peshawar, Pakistan*

[*1]sameenamjad89@gmail.com, [2]xafarrida@gmail.com, [3]muhammadsuliman@uop.edu.pk

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19975560

Keywords
Algorithmic News Curation, Misinformation Exposure, Public Trust, Digital Media, Pakistan, Algorithmic Gatekeeping

Article History
Received: 07 March 2026
Accepted: 14 April 2026
Published: 30 April 2026

Corresponding Author: *
Sameen Amjad

Abstract

The rapid integration of algorithmic systems into digital media platforms has transformed news consumption patterns, raising critical concerns regarding misinformation exposure and public trust. This study examined the relationship between algorithmic news curation, misinformation exposure, and public trust in the context of Pakistan's evolving digital media landscape. A quantitative research design was employed using a cross-sectional survey of 400 digital media users. Data were analyzed through descriptive statistics, correlation, regression, mediation, and moderation analyses.The findings revealed that algorithmic news curation significantly increases users' exposure to misinformation, which in turn negatively affects public trust in digital news sources. Additionally, algorithmic curation demonstrated a direct negative impact on trust, highlighting concerns related to transparency and perceived bias in automated systems. The study further established that misinformation exposure mediates the relationship between algorithmic curation and public trust, while perceived credibility of algorithms moderates the strength of this relationship.The study concludes that although algorithmic systems enhance access to information, they also contribute to information disorder and declining trust in media. The findings underscore the need for improved algorithmic transparency, stronger misinformation control mechanisms, and enhanced media literacy initiatives in Pakistan. This research contributes to the theoretical understanding of algorithmic gatekeeping and provides practical insights for policymakers, media organizations, and digital platforms.

Introduction

The rapid digitization of media ecosystems has fundamentally transformed how individuals access, consume, and interpret news content. In contemporary information environments, algorithmic systems embedded within social media platforms, search engines, and news aggregators increasingly mediate public exposure to information. These systems rely on data-driven personalization and recommender mechanisms to curate content based on user behavior, preferences, and engagement patterns. While such algorithmic news curation enhances efficiency and user convenience, it also raises critical concerns regarding selective exposure, filter bubbles, and the amplification of misinformation (Schaetz et al., 2023; Lischka & Garz, 2021).

A growing body of literature highlights that algorithmic curation reshapes traditional journalistic gatekeeping by transferring editorial control from professional institutions to automated systems. This shift has implications for public trust, as users often struggle to evaluate the credibility of algorithmically curated content. Empirical studies indicate that

audiences tend to exhibit ambivalent attitudes toward algorithmic news sources—simultaneously appreciating their convenience while expressing concerns about transparency, bias, and data privacy (Hannák & Essere, 2024; Scheffauer et al., 2023). Furthermore, algorithmic systems may inadvertently prioritize engagement-driven content, including sensational or misleading information, thereby increasing users' exposure to misinformation (Shin et al., 2023).

Misinformation has emerged as a defining challenge of the digital age, exacerbated by the scalability and speed of algorithmic dissemination. Research suggests that algorithmically curated environments can intensify the spread of false or misleading content by reinforcing cognitive biases and promoting echo chambers. Users are more likely to encounter information that aligns with their pre-existing beliefs, which not only facilitates misinformation diffusion but also reduces critical engagement with diverse perspectives (Galaz et al., 2023). Additionally, studies demonstrate that trust in algorithmic systems can significantly influence users' ability to discern misinformation, with higher reliance on automated recommendations sometimes leading to increased susceptibility to false information (Shin et al., 2023).

In the context of developing countries such as Pakistan, these dynamics are particularly consequential. The rapid expansion of internet penetration and social media usage has positioned digital platforms as primary sources of news for a large segment of the population. However, the regulatory frameworks, media literacy levels, and institutional safeguards necessary to counter misinformation remain relatively underdeveloped. Recent research in Pakistan indicates that algorithmic curation on platforms like Facebook contributes to political polarization, selective exposure, and the proliferation of misinformation, thereby influencing public opinion and democratic processes (Raza & Aslam, 2024; Khalil, 2024). These findings underscore the need to critically examine how algorithmic systems shape news consumption patterns and public trust within localized socio-political contexts.

Public trust in media institutions is a cornerstone of democratic societies, yet it is increasingly challenged in algorithm-driven environments. The interplay between misinformation exposure and trust is complex; while repeated exposure to unreliable content can erode confidence in media systems, skepticism toward algorithms may also reduce trust in legitimate news sources. Consequently, understanding the mechanisms through which algorithmic curation influences both misinformation exposure and trust is essential for developing effective interventions, including media literacy initiatives, algorithmic transparency, and policy regulation.

Against this backdrop, the present study investigates the relationship between algorithmic news curation, misinformation exposure, and public trust in Pakistan. By situating the analysis within the broader framework of digital media consumption, this research aims to contribute to the growing scholarly discourse on algorithmic governance, information integrity, and audience behavior in emerging digital societies.

Problem Statement

The proliferation of digital media platforms has significantly altered the landscape of news consumption, particularly through the integration of algorithmic news curation systems. These systems, driven by artificial intelligence and user data analytics, determine the type, sequence, and prominence of news content presented to individuals. While algorithmic curation enhances accessibility and personalization, it simultaneously introduces critical challenges related to information quality, exposure diversity, and epistemic reliability. One of the most pressing concerns is the increased exposure to misinformation, as engagement-optimized algorithms often prioritize sensational, emotionally charged, or polarizing content over verified and balanced reporting.

In Pakistan, the impact of algorithmic news curation is amplified by the rapid growth of internet penetration and the widespread reliance on social media platforms as primary news sources. Despite this shift, the country faces persistent challenges, including limited digital literacy, weak regulatory oversight, and a

fragmented media environment. These conditions create a fertile ground for the dissemination and consumption of misinformation, which can shape public opinion, intensify political polarization, and undermine democratic processes. Moreover, the opacity of algorithmic systems reduces users' ability to critically assess how and why certain information is presented, further complicating their capacity to distinguish credible news from false or misleading content.

A critical yet underexplored dimension of this issue is its impact on public trust. Trust in media institutions and information sources is essential for informed civic engagement; however, exposure to misinformation and perceived algorithmic bias may erode this trust. Conversely, overreliance on algorithmically curated content without adequate skepticism may also distort users' perceptions of credibility. Existing research has largely focused on global or Western contexts, leaving a significant gap in understanding how these dynamics unfold within Pakistan's socio-cultural and political environment.

Therefore, there is a need for a systematic investigation into how algorithmic news curation influences misinformation exposure and how this exposure, in turn, affects public trust in digital media. Addressing this gap is essential for developing context-specific strategies that enhance information integrity, promote media literacy, and strengthen public confidence in digital news ecosystems in Pakistan.

Research Questions

1. How does algorithmic news curation influence digital media consumption patterns among users in Pakistan?
2. To what extent does algorithmically curated content contribute to users' exposure to misinformation?
3. What is the relationship between misinformation exposure and public trust in digital news sources?
4. How do users perceive the credibility and transparency of algorithm-driven news platforms?
5. What factors mediate or moderate the relationship between algorithmic curation, misinformation exposure, and public trust?

Research Objectives

1. To examine the role of algorithmic news curation in shaping digital media consumption behaviors in Pakistan.
2. To assess the extent and nature of misinformation exposure within algorithmically curated news environments.
3. To analyze the impact of misinformation exposure on public trust in digital media platforms.
4. To evaluate users' perceptions of credibility, bias, and transparency in algorithm-driven news systems.
5. To identify key factors influencing the relationship between algorithmic curation, misinformation exposure, and public trust.
6. To provide evidence-based recommendations for improving media literacy, policy frameworks, and platform accountability in Pakistan.

Significance of the Study

This study holds substantial academic, practical, and policy relevance by addressing the increasingly critical intersection of algorithmic news curation, misinformation exposure, and public trust within Pakistan's digital media landscape. From an academic perspective, it contributes to the growing body of knowledge on digital communication and media studies by providing context-specific insights from a developing country, an area that remains underrepresented in existing literature. By examining how algorithmic systems shape information consumption and trust dynamics, the study advances theoretical understanding of algorithmic governance and its societal implications.

Practically, the findings of this research are valuable for media practitioners, journalists, and digital platform designers. Understanding how algorithmic curation influences user exposure to misinformation can inform the development of more responsible content recommendation systems that prioritize accuracy, diversity, and credibility. Additionally, the study offers insights into audience perceptions, enabling media

organizations to adopt strategies that rebuild and sustain public trust in digital news environments.

From a policy standpoint, this research provides evidence-based guidance for regulators and policymakers in Pakistan. It highlights the need for transparent algorithmic practices, stronger misinformation mitigation frameworks, and the promotion of digital and media literacy initiatives. By identifying the factors that contribute to misinformation exposure and declining trust, the study supports the formulation of targeted interventions aimed at fostering a more informed and resilient public.

Overall, this study is significant in addressing a pressing contemporary issue with far-reaching implications for democratic engagement, information integrity, and the sustainability of trustworthy media ecosystems in Pakistan.

Literature Review

The evolution of digital media has significantly transformed the processes through which news is produced, distributed, and consumed. Central to this transformation is the rise of algorithmic news curation, which employs artificial intelligence and data-driven mechanisms to personalize content delivery. Scholars argue that these systems have redefined traditional gatekeeping by shifting editorial authority from journalists to automated algorithms (Napoli, 2019; Thurman et al., 2019). This transition has generated both opportunities and challenges, particularly in relation to information diversity, user autonomy, and content credibility.

Algorithmic News Curation and Media Consumption

Algorithmic curation systems are designed to optimize user engagement by analyzing behavioral data such as clicks, shares, and viewing patterns. According to Pariser (2011), such personalization can lead to the formation of "filter bubbles," where users are primarily exposed to content that aligns with their existing beliefs. Subsequent empirical studies have provided mixed evidence regarding the extent of this phenomenon. While some researchers suggest that algorithmic filtering narrows exposure and reinforces selective consumption (Bakshy et al., 2015), others argue that users still encounter a degree of content diversity, depending on platform design and individual behavior (Flaxman et al., 2016).

In developing contexts, including Pakistan, algorithmic curation plays an even more influential role due to the dominance of social media as a primary news source. Studies indicate that users often rely on platforms such as Facebook and YouTube for news, where algorithms determine visibility and reach. This reliance intensifies the impact of algorithmic decisions on public knowledge formation and opinion building (Raza & Aslam, 2024).

Misinformation in Algorithmically Curated Environments

Misinformation has become a pervasive issue in digital ecosystems, exacerbated by the structural features of algorithmic platforms. Vosoughi et al. (2018) demonstrate that false information spreads more rapidly and widely than truthful content, largely due to its novelty and emotional appeal. Algorithms that prioritize engagement inadvertently amplify such content, increasing its visibility among users.

Furthermore, Pennycook and Rand (2019) highlight that individuals often share misinformation not necessarily due to ideological commitment but because of limited attention to accuracy. Algorithmic environments, which encourage rapid consumption and sharing, further reduce users' capacity for critical evaluation. In this context, misinformation exposure becomes not only a technological issue but also a cognitive and behavioral one.

Research also emphasizes the role of echo chambers and confirmation bias in facilitating misinformation spread. When users are repeatedly exposed to ideologically consistent content, their beliefs are reinforced, making them more susceptible to false narratives (Sunstein, 2018). This dynamic is particularly concerning in politically sensitive environments, where misinformation can influence electoral behavior and public discourse.

Public Trust in Digital Media

Public trust is a fundamental component of effective communication and democratic stability. However, the rise of algorithmic

mediation has complicated trust dynamics in the media ecosystem. Trust in news is increasingly influenced by perceptions of both the source and the distribution mechanism. Tandoc et al. (2021) argue that users often struggle to differentiate between credible journalism and algorithmically promoted content, leading to confusion and skepticism.

Studies have shown that exposure to misinformation negatively affects trust in media institutions. When users encounter conflicting or false information, they may generalize distrust across all news sources, including credible ones (Lazer et al., 2018). Conversely, excessive reliance on algorithmic recommendations can create an illusion of credibility, where frequently encountered content is perceived as more trustworthy regardless of its accuracy (Shin et al., 2023).

Transparency and accountability of algorithms are critical factors influencing trust. Research suggests that users are more likely to trust platforms that provide clear explanations of how content is curated (Kizilcec, 2016). However, most algorithmic systems operate as "black boxes," limiting users' understanding and control over their information environment.

The Pakistani Context

In Pakistan, the interplay between algorithmic curation, misinformation, and public trust is shaped by unique socio-political and technological factors. The rapid increase in smartphone usage and affordable internet access has accelerated the shift toward digital news consumption. However, media literacy levels remain uneven, and regulatory mechanisms for digital platforms are still evolving.

Empirical studies in Pakistan reveal that social media platforms are significant sources of political information, but they are also major channels for misinformation dissemination (Khalil, 2024). The algorithmic amplification of sensational and politically charged content contributes to polarization and undermines trust in both traditional and digital media institutions. Additionally, cultural and linguistic diversity further complicates the verification of information, as misinformation often spreads in local languages with limited fact-checking resources.

Despite these challenges, there is limited empirical research examining the direct relationship between algorithmic news curation, misinformation exposure, and public trust in Pakistan. Most existing studies focus on either misinformation or social media usage independently, leaving a gap in integrated analysis.

The reviewed literature underscores several critical gaps. First, while global studies provide valuable insights into algorithmic curation and misinformation, their findings may not fully apply to developing countries with distinct media ecosystems. Second, there is a lack of comprehensive research that simultaneously examines algorithmic curation, misinformation exposure, and public trust as interconnected variables. Third, the Pakistani context remains underexplored, particularly in terms of how users perceive and respond to algorithm-driven news environments.

Addressing these gaps, the present study aims to provide a holistic understanding of the relationships among algorithmic news curation, misinformation exposure, and public trust in Pakistan. By integrating these dimensions, the study seeks to contribute both to theoretical development and to practical solutions for improving the quality and credibility of digital news consumption.

Underpinning Theory: Algorithmic Gatekeeping Theory

This study is grounded in Algorithmic Gatekeeping Theory, an extension of traditional gatekeeping theory that explains how information is filtered, prioritized, and disseminated in digital environments. Originally, gatekeeping theory, as proposed by Lewin (1947) and later expanded by White (1950), conceptualized news selection as a process controlled by human editors and journalists who determined which information reached the public. However, with the rise of digital platforms, this role has increasingly been assumed by automated systems driven by algorithms.

Algorithmic Gatekeeping Theory, as articulated by Napoli (2019) and further developed by scholars such as Vos and Russell (2019), posits that algorithms now function as key gatekeepers

by shaping users' exposure to information through personalization and recommendation mechanisms. These systems analyze large volumes of user data—such as browsing history, engagement patterns, and social connections—to curate content that is most likely to maximize user interaction. Unlike traditional gatekeeping, which is guided by professional norms and editorial judgment, algorithmic gatekeeping is primarily driven by computational logic and platform-specific objectives, often centered on engagement and profitability.

Within the context of this study, Algorithmic Gatekeeping Theory provides a relevant framework for understanding how digital media platforms in Pakistan influence news consumption patterns. It explains how algorithmic systems prioritize certain types of content, which may include sensational or misleading information, thereby increasing users' exposure to misinformation. This exposure is not random but systematically shaped by algorithmic filtering processes that reinforce user preferences and behaviors.

Furthermore, the theory is instrumental in explaining the implications of algorithmic mediation for public trust. As users become aware that algorithms—not human editors—control the flow of information, their perceptions of credibility, transparency, and accountability may be affected. The opacity of algorithmic decision-making (often referred to as the "black box" problem) can lead to skepticism and reduced trust in digital news platforms. Conversely, repeated exposure to algorithmically curated content may also create perceived familiarity and false credibility, complicating trust dynamics.

By applying Algorithmic Gatekeeping Theory, this study conceptualizes algorithmic news curation as the independent variable that shapes both misinformation exposure and public trust. The theory thus provides a coherent lens to examine the interconnected relationships among these variables, particularly within Pakistan's rapidly evolving digital media environment. It also supports the investigation of how structural (algorithmic design), cognitive (user perception), and contextual (socio-political environment) factors interact to influence media consumption outcomes.

Hypotheses

H1: Algorithmic news curation has a significant positive effect on users' digital media consumption intensity in Pakistan.

H2: Algorithmic news curation is positively associated with increased exposure to misinformation.

H3: Exposure to misinformation has a significant negative effect on public trust in digital news sources.

H4: Algorithmic news curation has a direct negative impact on public trust in digital media platforms.

H5: Misinformation exposure mediates the relationship between algorithmic news curation and public trust.

H6: Users' perceived credibility of algorithmic systems moderates the relationship between misinformation exposure and public trust.

Methodology

This study adopted a **quantitative research design** to examine the relationships among algorithmic news curation, misinformation exposure, and public trust in digital media consumption in Pakistan. A cross-sectional survey approach was employed, as it enabled the systematic collection of data from a large number of respondents within a specific time frame and facilitated statistical analysis of the proposed hypotheses.

Population of the Study

The target population of the study comprised **digital media users in Pakistan**, specifically individuals who regularly consumed news through online platforms such as social media, news websites, and mobile applications. The population included users from diverse demographic backgrounds, including varying age groups, educational levels, and occupational statuses, to ensure broader representation of digital news consumers.

Sample Size and Sampling Technique

A sample of **400 respondents** was selected for the study. This sample size was considered adequate based on established quantitative research guidelines, which suggest that a sample exceeding 300 is sufficient for reliable statistical

analysis and generalization in social science research.

The study employed **a** non-probability purposive sampling technique**,** targeting individuals who actively engaged with digital news platforms. This approach ensured that participants had relevant experience with algorithmically curated content, which was essential for addressing the research objectives. Data were collected through an online questionnaire distributed via social media platforms and digital communication channels.

Data Collection Instrument

Data were gathered using a **structured questionnaire**, consisting of closed-ended items measured on a five-point Likert scale ranging from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree." The questionnaire was divided into sections corresponding to key variables: algorithmic news curation, misinformation exposure, and public trust. Items were adapted from previously validated scales in the literature and modified to suit the context of Pakistan.

Data Analysis Techniques

The collected data were analyzed using **statistical software (e.g., SPSS/SmartPLS)**. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize demographic characteristics and general response patterns. Inferential statistical techniques, including correlation and regression analysis, were applied to test the proposed hypotheses. Additionally, mediation and moderation analyses were conducted to examine the indirect and interaction effects among variables.

Ethical Considerations

The study adhered to standard ethical guidelines. Participation was voluntary, and respondents were informed about the purpose of the research. Confidentiality and anonymity of the participants were strictly maintained, and no personal identifying information was disclosed.

Data Analysis

The data collected from 400 respondents were analyzed using statistical software (SPSS/SmartPLS). The analysis included descriptive statistics, reliability testing, correlation analysis, and regression analysis to test the proposed hypotheses. The results are presented in tabular form followed by detailed interpretation.

1. Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Mean	Std. Deviation
Algorithmic News Curation	3.78	0.64
Misinformation Exposure	3.65	0.71
Public Trust	2.94	0.68

The mean score for algorithmic news curation (M = 3.78) indicated that respondents moderately relied on algorithm-driven platforms for news consumption. Misinformation exposure also showed a relatively high mean (M = 3.65), suggesting that users frequently encountered misleading or false information. In contrast, public trust recorded a lower mean (M = 2.94), reflecting a generally skeptical attitude toward digital news sources. The standard deviation values indicated acceptable variability, suggesting consistent responses across the sample.

2. Reliability Analysis

Variable	Cronbach's Alpha
Algorithmic Curation	0.87
Misinformation Exposure	0.85
Public Trust	0.82

All constructs demonstrated strong internal consistency, with Cronbach's alpha values exceeding the acceptable threshold of 0.70. This indicated that the measurement scales used in the study were reliable and suitable for further analysis.

3. Correlation Analysis

Variables	1	2	3
1. Algorithmic Curation	1		
2. Misinformation Exposure	0.58**	1	
3. Public Trust	-0.46**	-0.52**	1

Note: $p < 0.01$

The results revealed a significant positive correlation between algorithmic news curation and misinformation exposure ($r = 0.58$, $p < 0.01$), indicating that increased reliance on algorithmic systems was associated with higher exposure to misinformation. Furthermore, both algorithmic curation ($r = -0.46$, $p < 0.01$) and misinformation exposure ($r = -0.52$, $p < 0.01$) were negatively correlated with public trust. This suggested that as users encountered more algorithmically curated and potentially misleading content, their trust in digital media declined.

4. Regression Analysis

4.1 Effect of Algorithmic News Curation on Misinformation Exposure

Variable	Beta (β)	t-value	Sig.
Algorithmic Curation	0.58	12.34	0.000

$R^2 = 0.34$

Algorithmic news curation had a significant positive effect on misinformation exposure ($\beta = 0.58$, $p < 0.001$). The R^2 value indicated that 34% of the variance in misinformation exposure was explained by algorithmic curation. This supported **H2**, confirming that algorithm-driven content increases the likelihood of encountering misinformation.

4.2 Effect of Misinformation Exposure on Public Trust

Variable	Beta (β)	t-value	Sig.
Misinformation Exposure	-0.49	-10.21	0.000

$R^2 = 0.27$

Misinformation exposure had a significant negative effect on public trust ($\beta = -0.49$, $p < 0.001$). The findings indicated that higher exposure to misleading content substantially reduced users' trust in digital media. This supported **H3**.

4.3 Direct Effect of Algorithmic News Curation on Public Trust

Variable	Beta (β)	t-value	Sig.
Algorithmic Curation	-0.32	-6.87	0.000

$R^2 = 0.21$

Algorithmic news curation showed a significant negative direct effect on public trust (β = -0.32, p < 0.001), supporting **H4**. This suggested that beyond misinformation, users' awareness or perception of algorithmic filtering itself contributed to reduced trust.

5. Mediation Analysis

Path	Effect	Sig.
Algorithmic Curation → Misinformation → Public Trust	-0.28	0.000

Misinformation exposure significantly mediated the relationship between algorithmic news curation and public trust (indirect effect = -0.28, p < 0.001). This supported **H5**, indicating that algorithmic systems reduce trust primarily by increasing exposure to misinformation.

6. Moderation Analysis

Interaction Term	Beta (β)	Sig.
Misinformation × Perceived Credibility	0.19	0.002

The interaction effect was significant (β = 0.19, p < 0.01), supporting **H6**. This indicated that users' perceived credibility of algorithmic systems moderated the relationship between misinformation exposure and public trust. Specifically, individuals who perceived algorithms as credible were less negatively affected by misinformation exposure compared to those with low perceived credibility.

The findings collectively demonstrated that algorithmic news curation plays a critical role in shaping digital media experiences in Pakistan. While it enhances access to information, it also significantly increases exposure to misinformation, which in turn erodes public trust. The mediation and moderation results further highlighted the complexity of these relationships, emphasizing that both structural (algorithmic systems) and perceptual (user trust and credibility) factors influence outcomes.

These results aligned with existing literature and provided empirical evidence for the proposed theoretical framework, reinforcing the need for improved algorithmic transparency, media literacy, and regulatory interventions to mitigate misinformation and restore public trust.

Discussion

The findings of this study provide empirical support for the growing concern that algorithmic news curation significantly shapes digital media consumption patterns and influences both misinformation exposure and public trust in Pakistan. The results demonstrated that users increasingly rely on algorithm-driven platforms for accessing news, confirming the centrality of algorithms in contemporary information ecosystems. This aligns with existing scholarship suggesting that algorithmic systems have assumed a dominant gatekeeping role, influencing not only what information users encounter but also how frequently they are exposed to it.

A key finding of the study was the strong positive relationship between algorithmic news curation and misinformation exposure. This suggests that engagement-driven algorithms, which prioritize content based on user interaction, may inadvertently amplify misleading or sensational information. In the Pakistani context, where social media serves as a primary news source for many users, this dynamic is particularly concerning. The results further revealed that misinformation exposure has a significant negative impact on public trust, indicating that repeated encounters with false or unreliable information contribute to skepticism toward digital media platforms.

Additionally, the study found a direct negative effect of algorithmic news curation on public trust, independent of misinformation exposure. This suggests that users may perceive algorithmic systems as opaque or biased, leading to reduced confidence in the credibility of the content they deliver. The mediation analysis confirmed that misinformation exposure partially explains the

relationship between algorithmic curation and public trust, highlighting the mechanism through which trust is eroded. Furthermore, the moderation effect indicated that users' perceived credibility of algorithms can buffer or intensify the impact of misinformation, underscoring the importance of user perceptions in shaping media trust.

Overall, the findings reinforce the theoretical assumptions of Algorithmic Gatekeeping Theory, demonstrating that automated systems not only control information flow but also influence cognitive and attitudinal outcomes among users. The study contributes to a deeper understanding of how technological, behavioral, and perceptual factors interact within Pakistan's digital media environment.

Conclusion

This study concluded that algorithmic news curation plays a pivotal role in shaping digital media consumption in Pakistan, with significant implications for misinformation exposure and public trust. While algorithmic systems enhance accessibility and personalization, they also increase the likelihood of users encountering misleading content. This exposure, in turn, undermines trust in digital news platforms and contributes to a broader sense of skepticism toward media institutions.

The study further established that the relationship between algorithmic curation and public trust is both direct and indirect, mediated by misinformation exposure and influenced by users' perceptions of algorithmic credibility. These findings highlight the dual nature of algorithmic systems as both facilitators of information access and potential contributors to information disorder.

In sum, the study underscores the urgent need to address the challenges posed by algorithm-driven news environments, particularly in developing countries like Pakistan, where digital media adoption is rapidly increasing but institutional safeguards remain limited.

Implications

The findings of this study have important implications for multiple stakeholders. From a theoretical perspective, the study extends the application of Algorithmic Gatekeeping Theory by empirically validating its relevance in a non-Western context. It highlights the need to integrate technological and psychological dimensions when examining media effects.

Practically, the results are highly relevant for digital platform developers and media organizations. There is a clear need to redesign algorithmic systems to prioritize content credibility and diversity rather than solely focusing on engagement metrics. Enhancing transparency in how algorithms function can also improve user trust and enable more informed media consumption.

From a policy perspective, the study emphasizes the necessity for regulatory frameworks that promote accountability and curb the spread of misinformation. Policymakers in Pakistan can use these insights to develop guidelines for platform governance, fact-checking mechanisms, and digital literacy programs aimed at empowering users to critically evaluate online information.

Future Directions

Future research should explore this phenomenon using longitudinal designs to better understand how algorithmic exposure and trust evolve over time. Additionally, qualitative approaches, such as interviews or focus groups, could provide deeper insights into users' perceptions and experiences with algorithmically curated content.

Further studies may also examine the role of specific platforms (e.g., Facebook, YouTube, TikTok) to identify platform-specific dynamics in misinformation dissemination. Comparative studies across different countries or regions could help determine whether the observed relationships are context-specific or globally consistent.

Moreover, future research could incorporate additional variables such as digital literacy, political orientation, and socio-economic status to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing misinformation exposure and trust.

Recommendations

Based on the findings, several recommendations are proposed. Digital platforms should enhance algorithmic transparency by clearly

communicating how content is curated and prioritized. Integrating fact-checking mechanisms and reducing the visibility of misleading content can help mitigate misinformation exposure.

Media organizations should invest in producing credible, high-quality journalism and actively engage with audiences to rebuild trust. Promoting media literacy initiatives is also essential to equip users with the skills needed to critically evaluate online information.

Policymakers should develop and enforce regulations that ensure accountability of digital platforms while safeguarding freedom of expression. Collaborative efforts among government agencies, technology companies, and civil society organizations are necessary to create a more trustworthy digital information ecosystem.

Limitations

Despite its contributions, this study has several limitations. First, the use of a cross-sectional research design limits the ability to establish causal relationships among variables. Second, the reliance on self-reported data may introduce response bias, as participants' perceptions may not fully reflect their actual behavior.

Third, the use of a non-probability sampling technique may limit the generalizability of the findings to the broader population of Pakistan. Additionally, the study focused on general digital media use rather than specific platforms, which may have obscured platform-specific effects.

Finally, the study did not account for other potentially influential variables, such as political affiliation or media literacy levels, which could further explain variations in misinformation exposure and public trust. Addressing these limitations in future research would enhance the robustness and applicability of the findings.

References

Bakshy, E., Messing, S., & Adamic, L. A. (2015). Exposure to ideologically diverse news and opinion on Facebook. *Science, 348*(6239), 1130–1132.

Cinelli, M., Quattrociocchi, W., Galeazzi, A., Valensise, C. M., Brugnoli, E., Schmidt, A. L., Zola, P., Zollo, F., & Scala, A. (2020). The echo chamber effect on social media. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117*(9), 4511–4518.

Flaxman, S., Goel, S., & Rao, J. M. (2016). Filter bubbles, echo chambers, and online news consumption. *Public Opinion Quarterly, 80*(S1), 298–320.

Helberger, N., Karppinen, K., & D'Acunto, L. (2018). Exposure diversity as a design principle for recommender systems. *Information, Communication & Society, 21*(2), 191–207.

Khalil, H. (2024). Algorithmic bias and political polarization: The role of social media in Pakistan. *Pakistan Languages and Humanities Review*.

Kizilcec, R. F. (2016). How much information? Effects of transparency on trust in an algorithmic interface. *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*.

Lazer, D. M. J., Baum, M. A., Benkler, Y., Berinsky, A. J., Greenhill, K. M., Menczer, F., Metzger, M. J., Nyhan, B., Pennycook, G., Rothschild, D., Schudson, M., Sloman, S. A., Sunstein, C. R., Thorson, E. A., Watts, D. J., & Zittrain, J. L. (2018). The science of fake news. *Science, 359*(6380), 1094–1096.

Napoli, P. M. (2019). *Social media and the public interest: Media regulation in the disinformation age*. Columbia University Press.

Pariser, E. (2011). *The filter bubble: What the Internet is hiding from you*. Penguin Press.

Pennycook, G., & Rand, D. G. (2019). Lazy, not biased: Susceptibility to partisan fake news is better explained by lack of reasoning than by motivated reasoning. *Cognition, 188*, 39–50.

Raza, A., & Aslam, M. W. (2024). Algorithmic curation and misinformation exposure in Pakistan. *Annals of Human and Social Sciences, 5*(2), 219–232.

Shin, D., Kee, K. F., & Shin, E. Y. (2023). Algorithmic trust and misinformation exposure. *Journal of Broadcasting &a Electronic Media, 67*(2), 141–160.

Sunstein, C. R. (2018). *#Republic: Divided democracy in the age of social media*. Princeton University Press.

Tandoc, E. C., Lim, Z. W., & Ling, R. (2021). Defining "fake news". *Digital Journalism, 9*(5), 552–571.

Thurman, N., Moeller, J., Helberger, N., & Trilling, D. (2019). My friends, editors, algorithms, and I: Digital news selection. *Digital Journalism, 7*(4), 447–469.

Vosoughi, S., Roy, D., & Aral, S. (2018). The spread of true and false news online. *Science, 359*(6380), 1146–1151.