

ALGORITHMIC NEWS EXPOSURE AND MISINFORMATION SUSCEPTIBILITY: A STUDY OF SOCIAL MEDIA USERS IN PAKISTAN

Asia Rahman Khan Lodhi^{*1}, Dr. Mahboob Ullah², Asif hanif Qureshi³

^{*1}Director, PID Islamabad

²Associate Professor, Department of Management Sciences, Abasyn University Peshawar

³Assistant Professor -Deputy Director ORIC, Abasyn University Peshawar

¹asia.khan.lodhi@gmail.com, ²mahboob.ullah@abasyn.edu.pk, ³asif.hanif@abasyn.edu.pk

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19661067>

Keywords

Algorithmic news exposure; misinformation susceptibility; social media; digital literacy; filter bubbles; Pakistan; artificial intelligence; selective exposure theory

Article History

Received: 22 February 2026

Accepted: 03 April 2026

Published: 20 April 2026

Copyright @Author

Corresponding Author: *

Asia Rahman Khan Lodhi

Abstract

The increasing reliance on social media as a primary news source has intensified concerns regarding the role of algorithmic systems in shaping information exposure and amplifying misinformation. This study examined the relationship between algorithmic news exposure and misinformation susceptibility among social media users in Pakistan. A quantitative, cross-sectional survey design was employed, and data were collected from 384 respondents selected through a convenience sampling technique. The study utilized validated scales to measure algorithmic news exposure, misinformation susceptibility, and digital literacy. Statistical analyses, including correlation and regression techniques, were applied using SPSS. The findings revealed a significant positive relationship between algorithmic news exposure and misinformation susceptibility, indicating that increased exposure to algorithmically curated content is associated with a higher likelihood of believing and engaging with misleading information. Conversely, digital literacy demonstrated a significant negative relationship with misinformation susceptibility, highlighting its protective role in reducing vulnerability to false information. The regression model explained a substantial proportion of variance in misinformation susceptibility, confirming the strong predictive influence of algorithmic exposure. The study concludes that algorithmic news systems are not neutral information channels but active contributors to misinformation dissemination in digital environments. It further emphasizes the need for enhanced digital literacy and regulatory oversight to mitigate the adverse effects of algorithm-driven content curation. The findings contribute to theoretical advancements in selective exposure and media effects literature while offering practical implications for policymakers, educators, and social media platforms.

INTRODUCTION

The rapid integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into digital communication ecosystems has fundamentally reshaped the dynamics of news production, distribution, and consumption. Social media platforms increasingly rely on

algorithmic systems to curate personalized news feeds, determining what information users see, engage with, and share. These algorithmic mechanisms are designed to optimize user engagement through behavioral tracking, preference modeling, and predictive analytics. However, while such systems enhance content

relevance, they also raise significant concerns regarding informational diversity, epistemic reliability, and the amplification of misinformation (Hastuti et al., 2025; Raza & Aslam, 2024).

Algorithmic news exposure refers to the exposure of users to information that is filtered, ranked, and recommended by computational systems rather than chronological or editorial selection. Contemporary research highlights that these systems prioritize content with high emotional intensity, novelty, and engagement potential, often at the expense of informational accuracy (Ali et al., 2025). This engagement-driven architecture has been shown to systematically increase the visibility of sensational and misleading content, thereby contributing to the normalization and diffusion of misinformation across digital platforms.

In Pakistan, social media has emerged as a dominant source of news and political information, particularly among younger demographics who rely heavily on platforms such as Facebook, X (formerly Twitter), YouTube, and TikTok for real-time updates and public discourse (Haroon et al., 2021). The increasing dependence on algorithmically mediated news environments has intensified concerns regarding information integrity, as users are frequently exposed to unverified, emotionally charged, and politically biased content. Empirical studies in the Pakistani context indicate that algorithmic recommendation systems contribute significantly to political polarization by reinforcing selective exposure and limiting access to diverse perspectives (Khalil, 2024).

A key implication of algorithmic curation is the formation of filter bubbles and echo chambers, where users are continuously exposed to information that aligns with their pre-existing beliefs. This reinforcement mechanism strengthens cognitive biases such as confirmation bias, leading individuals to accept misleading information as credible when it aligns with their ideological predispositions (Saqib & Hussain, 2025). Additionally, the visibility of social engagement metrics (e.g., likes, shares, and comments) further increases the perceived

credibility of content, even when such content lacks factual accuracy, thereby increasing vulnerability to misinformation (Avram et al., 2020).

Misinformation susceptibility refers to the tendency of individuals to believe, accept, or disseminate false or misleading information. In developing digital societies such as Pakistan, misinformation is particularly prevalent in domains such as politics, health, and religion, where emotionally charged narratives are easily amplified through algorithmic systems (Shu et al., 2017). Recent analyses further suggest that algorithm-driven platforms can exacerbate information disorder during periods of political instability, where unverified content spreads rapidly across networks, influencing public perception and behavior.

Despite growing global scholarship on algorithmic governance and misinformation, there remains a significant empirical gap in understanding how algorithmic news exposure directly influences misinformation susceptibility in the Pakistani social media ecosystem. Existing research has predominantly focused on misinformation detection, political polarization, or digital literacy, with limited integration of algorithmic mechanisms and user-level psychological vulnerability. Furthermore, the moderating role of digital literacy, trust in media systems, and demographic variables remains underexplored in the Pakistani context.

Therefore, this study aims to examine the relationship between algorithmic news exposure and misinformation susceptibility among social media users in Pakistan. By integrating theoretical perspectives from selective exposure theory, agenda-setting theory, and cognitive bias frameworks, this research seeks to explain how algorithmic systems shape information environments and influence user judgment. The study contributes to the broader discourse on AI-driven media ecosystems by providing empirical insights into how algorithmic curation affects information credibility and public perception in an emerging digital society.

Problem Statement

The rapid expansion of social media platforms has fundamentally transformed the way individuals access and consume news, shifting from traditional editorial gatekeeping to algorithmically curated information environments. These algorithmic systems, driven by artificial intelligence and machine learning, personalize news exposure based on user behavior, engagement patterns, and inferred preferences. While this personalization enhances user experience, it simultaneously raises serious concerns regarding information integrity, selective exposure, and the amplification of misinformation.

In Pakistan, where social media serves as a primary source of news for a large segment of the population, algorithmic curation has intensified exposure to unverified, emotionally charged, and politically biased content. The absence of strong regulatory frameworks and varying levels of digital literacy further exacerbate the risks associated with misinformation dissemination. Users are increasingly exposed to filter bubbles and echo chambers, which reinforce pre-existing beliefs and reduce critical engagement with diverse viewpoints.

Despite growing global attention on misinformation and algorithmic governance, there remains a significant empirical gap in understanding how algorithmic news exposure directly influences misinformation susceptibility among social media users in Pakistan. Existing research has largely focused on misinformation detection, political polarization, or media literacy in isolation, with limited integration of algorithmic mechanisms and user-level cognitive responses. Moreover, the moderating role of digital literacy, trust in media platforms, and demographic characteristics remains underexplored.

Therefore, there is a critical need to empirically examine the relationship between algorithmic news exposure and misinformation susceptibility in Pakistan's digital ecosystem. Understanding this relationship is essential for developing effective policy interventions, enhancing media

literacy, and mitigating the societal risks posed by algorithm-driven information systems.

Research Questions

1. What is the relationship between algorithmic news exposure and misinformation susceptibility among social media users in Pakistan?
2. How do algorithm-driven mechanisms such as personalization, filter bubbles, and engagement-based ranking influence users' perception of news credibility?
3. To what extent does algorithmic exposure contribute to the acceptance and sharing of misinformation?
4. Does digital literacy moderate the relationship between algorithmic news exposure and misinformation susceptibility?
5. How do demographic factors (age, education, and social media usage intensity) influence susceptibility to algorithmically amplified misinformation?

Research Objectives

General Objective

To examine the impact of algorithmic news exposure on misinformation susceptibility among social media users in Pakistan.

Specific Objectives

1. To analyze the effect of algorithmic news exposure on users' susceptibility to misinformation.
2. To investigate how algorithmic mechanisms (e.g., personalization and engagement-based ranking) shape news perception and credibility assessment.
3. To assess the role of cognitive and behavioral responses in mediating misinformation susceptibility.
4. To examine the moderating effect of digital literacy on the relationship between algorithmic exposure and misinformation susceptibility.
5. To explore the influence of demographic variables on users' vulnerability to algorithmically driven misinformation.

Significance of the Study

This study is significant in both theoretical and practical terms as it addresses the growing intersection between algorithmic information systems and misinformation dynamics within digital media environments, particularly in Pakistan. With the increasing reliance on social media platforms as primary news sources, algorithmic curation has become a powerful determinant of what information individuals are exposed to, thereby shaping public opinion, belief formation, and decision-making processes.

Theoretical Significance

The study contributes to the expanding body of knowledge on algorithmic communication by integrating perspectives from selective exposure theory, agenda-setting theory, and cognitive bias frameworks within the context of AI-driven media ecosystems. It advances theoretical understanding by explicitly linking algorithmic news exposure with misinformation susceptibility, an area that remains underdeveloped in existing literature, especially in emerging digital societies. Furthermore, it enhances scholarly discourse on how automated content curation systems interact with human cognitive processes such as confirmation bias, heuristic processing, and trust formation in digital environments.

By situating algorithmic influence within the socio-digital context of Pakistan, the study extends existing theories beyond Western-centric models, offering a contextually grounded contribution to global communication and media studies.

Practical Significance

Practically, the findings of this study are expected to provide valuable insights for multiple stakeholders:

- **Policy Makers and Regulatory Bodies:** The study can inform the development of digital governance frameworks aimed at regulating algorithmic transparency, combating misinformation, and ensuring responsible platform accountability.
- **Social Media Platforms:** Insights from the study may guide platform designers in improving algorithmic accountability, reducing

misinformation amplification, and enhancing content verification mechanisms.

- **Educational Institutions and Media Literacy Advocates:** The findings can support the development of targeted digital literacy programs to strengthen users' ability to critically evaluate algorithmically curated content.
- **General Public:** By highlighting the mechanisms through which misinformation spreads, the study can raise awareness among users about the risks associated with passive consumption of algorithm-driven news feeds.

Contextual Significance for Pakistan

In the Pakistani context, where social media penetration is rapidly increasing and digital literacy levels remain uneven, the implications of algorithmic misinformation are particularly critical. Political instability, health misinformation, and socially sensitive narratives are often amplified through algorithmic systems, contributing to polarization and public misperception. This study therefore provides timely and context-specific evidence that can support efforts to strengthen information integrity, promote informed citizenship, and mitigate the societal risks associated with digital misinformation.

Literature Review

Algorithmic News Exposure in Digital Media Ecosystems

The increasing integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in social media platforms has fundamentally reshaped how news is curated, distributed, and consumed. Algorithmic news exposure refers to the process through which machine-learning-based systems filter and prioritize content based on user behavior, engagement history, and inferred preferences. These systems are designed to maximize user retention by optimizing content relevance and engagement rather than informational accuracy (Gillespie, 2014; Bucher, 2018).

Recent scholarship highlights that algorithmic curation systems prioritize emotionally engaging and high-interaction content, often amplifying sensational or polarizing information (Bakshy et

al., 2015). This shift from editorial gatekeeping to computational gatekeeping has raised concerns regarding informational diversity and epistemic reliability in digital environments. Users are increasingly exposed to personalized content streams that may reinforce existing beliefs while limiting exposure to contradictory viewpoints (Pariser, 2011).

Filter Bubbles, Echo Chambers, and Selective Exposure

One of the most widely discussed consequences of algorithmic news exposure is the formation of filter bubbles and echo chambers. Filter bubbles refer to algorithmically constructed informational environments where users are primarily exposed to content aligned with their previous interactions, while opposing perspectives are systematically filtered out (Pariser, 2011). Echo chambers further reinforce this phenomenon through social reinforcement, where users interact predominantly with like-minded individuals.

Empirical studies demonstrate that algorithmic personalization significantly increases selective exposure, strengthening confirmation bias and reducing cognitive diversity in information processing (Flaxman et al., 2016). This results in fragmented public discourse and heightened ideological polarization, particularly in politically sensitive contexts.

Misinformation in Algorithmic Environments

Misinformation has emerged as a critical challenge in contemporary digital ecosystems. Defined as false or misleading information shared without harmful intent, misinformation spreads rapidly in algorithmically mediated platforms due to engagement-driven amplification mechanisms (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017).

Research indicates that social media algorithms unintentionally prioritize misinformation because such content often generates higher engagement rates compared to factual reporting (Vosoughi et al., 2018). False news spreads significantly faster and more widely than verified information due to its novelty and emotional appeal. This phenomenon is further intensified by social validation signals such as likes, shares, and

comments, which increase perceived credibility regardless of factual accuracy.

Psychological Mechanisms and Susceptibility to Misinformation

Misinformation susceptibility is influenced by several cognitive and psychological mechanisms. Confirmation bias plays a central role, as individuals are more likely to accept information that aligns with their pre-existing beliefs (Nickerson, 1998). In algorithmically curated environments, this bias is reinforced through repeated exposure to ideologically consistent content.

Additionally, heuristic processing and cognitive overload reduce users' ability to critically evaluate information, especially in fast-paced digital environments (Pennycook & Rand, 2019). Emotional arousal further increases susceptibility, as emotionally charged content tends to bypass analytical reasoning and encourages rapid sharing behavior.

Digital Media Landscape and Misinformation in Developing Contexts

In developing countries, including Pakistan, the impact of algorithmic misinformation is particularly pronounced due to structural and socio-digital constraints. Limited digital literacy, uneven access to verified news sources, and high reliance on social media platforms for information contribute to increased vulnerability to misinformation (Ahmed & Qazi, 2011).

Studies on Pakistan's digital ecosystem indicate that social media platforms play a central role in shaping political narratives, public opinion, and social behavior. Algorithmic recommendation systems have been linked to increased political polarization and the rapid dissemination of unverified content during periods of social or political instability (Khan et al., 2023).

Furthermore, misinformation in Pakistan often intersects with sensitive domains such as religion, health, and politics, making its societal impact particularly significant. The lack of robust fact-checking infrastructure and regulatory oversight exacerbates the spread of false information.

Digital Literacy as a Protective Factor

Digital literacy has been identified as a key moderating factor in reducing misinformation susceptibility. Individuals with higher levels of digital literacy are more capable of critically evaluating sources, identifying manipulated content, and verifying information before sharing it (Guess et al., 2020).

However, research indicates that digital literacy levels in many developing countries remain uneven, limiting the effectiveness of individual-level defenses against algorithmically amplified misinformation. This underscores the importance of institutional interventions alongside user education.

Research Gap

Despite extensive global research on misinformation and algorithmic media systems, several gaps remain:

- Limited empirical studies examining **direct relationships between algorithmic news exposure and misinformation susceptibility** in Pakistan.
- Insufficient integration of **cognitive and behavioral mechanisms** in explaining user vulnerability.
- Lack of focus on **moderating effects of digital literacy and demographic variables** in algorithmic environments.
- Over-reliance on Western contexts, with limited **region-specific evidence from South Asian digital ecosystems**.

These gaps highlight the need for context-specific research that examines how algorithmic systems influence information behavior in emerging digital societies such as Pakistan.

Underpinning Theory: Selective Exposure Theory

The primary underpinning theory for this study is Selective Exposure Theory, which explains how individuals actively or passively choose information that aligns with their pre-existing beliefs, attitudes, and values while avoiding contradictory content (Festinger, 1957; Knobloch-Westerwick, 2015).

In the context of algorithmic news environments, selective exposure is no longer driven solely by user choice but is increasingly structurally reinforced by algorithmic recommendation systems. Social media platforms such as Facebook, X (Twitter), TikTok, and YouTube utilize machine-learning algorithms that analyze user behavior (likes, shares, watch time, clicks) to predict and prioritize content likely to maximize engagement. This creates a feedback loop in which users are repeatedly exposed to ideologically congruent and emotionally appealing content.

From the perspective of Selective Exposure Theory, this algorithmic personalization intensifies confirmation bias, as individuals are more likely to engage with information that validates their existing beliefs. Over time, this leads to the formation of filter bubbles and echo chambers, where exposure to opposing viewpoints is significantly reduced. Consequently, users develop distorted perceptions of reality due to the reinforcement of one-sided information environments.

In relation to misinformation susceptibility, Selective Exposure Theory provides a strong explanatory foundation. When individuals are continuously exposed to congruent and emotionally charged misinformation, they are less likely to critically evaluate its accuracy. Instead, repeated exposure increases perceived familiarity and credibility, making misinformation more persuasive and harder to detect. This mechanism is particularly relevant in algorithmically driven environments where engagement-based ranking systems amplify sensational or misleading content. In the Pakistani context, where social media serves as a primary news source and digital literacy levels vary widely, selective exposure is further amplified by algorithmic curation. Users are often unaware that their information environment is being shaped by automated systems, which increases their vulnerability to misinformation acceptance and sharing.

Thus, Selective Exposure Theory provides a robust conceptual foundation for understanding how algorithmic news exposure contributes to misinformation susceptibility among social media users in Pakistan.

Methodology

Research Design

The study adopted a quantitative research design to examine the relationship between algorithmic news exposure and misinformation susceptibility among social media users in Pakistan. A cross-sectional survey design was employed to collect data at a single point in time, enabling the analysis of relationships among variables in a real-world digital context.

Population and Sample

The target population of the study consisted of social media users in Pakistan aged 18 years and above who regularly consumed news content through algorithm-driven platforms such as Facebook, X (Twitter), YouTube, and TikTok. This population was selected because it represents the most active group exposed to algorithmically curated information environments.

The estimated population of active social media users in Pakistan exceeds 60 million users, based on national digital usage reports and global social media statistics. From this population, a sample of 384 respondents was determined using the Krejcie and Morgan (1970) sampling table, which is widely accepted for ensuring adequate representation in large populations at a 95% confidence level and 5% margin of error.

A non-probability convenience sampling technique was applied due to the widespread and dispersed nature of social media users. This approach enabled the researchers to efficiently access respondents across different regions, age groups, and educational backgrounds through online distribution channels.

Data Collection Procedure

Data were collected through a structured questionnaire, which was distributed both online and in limited physical form. Online data collection was conducted using digital platforms to reach active social media users efficiently. Prior to participation, respondents were informed about the purpose of the study, and their consent was obtained. Confidentiality and anonymity were strictly maintained throughout the research process.

Research Instrument

The research instrument consisted of a structured questionnaire divided into four sections:

- **Demographic Information** (age, gender, education level, and social media usage patterns)
- **Algorithmic News Exposure Scale** (frequency and intensity of exposure to algorithmically curated news)
- **Misinformation Susceptibility Scale** (tendency to believe, share, or rely on false information)
- **Digital Literacy Scale** (ability to evaluate, verify, and critically assess online information)

All items were measured using a **5-point Likert scale**, ranging from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (5).

Validity and Reliability

Content validity was ensured through expert evaluation from scholars in media studies and information systems. A pilot study was conducted to test clarity and reliability of the instrument. Reliability was assessed using Cronbach's alpha, and all constructs demonstrated acceptable internal consistency ($\alpha \geq 0.70$), confirming the reliability of the measurement scales.

Data Analysis Techniques

The collected data were analyzed using statistical software (SPSS). The following techniques were applied:

- **Descriptive statistics** to summarize demographic characteristics and variable distributions
- **Pearson correlation analysis** to examine relationships among variables
- **Regression analysis** to test the predictive effect of algorithmic news exposure on misinformation susceptibility
- **Moderation analysis** to examine the role of digital literacy in the relationship between variables

Ethical Considerations

The study adhered to established ethical research guidelines. Participation was voluntary, and informed consent was obtained from all respondents. No personally identifiable

information was collected. Data were used strictly for academic purposes, ensuring confidentiality and participant anonymity.

Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using SPSS to examine the relationship between algorithmic news exposure and misinformation susceptibility among social media users in Pakistan. The analysis included descriptive statistics, reliability testing, correlation analysis, and regression analysis.

Descriptive Statistics

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents (N = 384)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	214	55.7%
	Female	170	44.3%
Age	18-25 years	162	42.2%
	26-35 years	138	35.9%
	36-45 years	64	16.7%
	Above 45 years	20	5.2%
Education	Intermediate	74	19.3%
	Bachelor	176	45.8%
	Master	102	26.6%
	MPhil/PhD	32	8.3%

The demographic distribution indicated that a majority of respondents were male (55.7%), while females represented 44.3%. The largest age group was 18-25 years (42.2%), reflecting that young individuals are the most active social media users in Pakistan. Most respondents held at least a

bachelor's degree (45.8%), suggesting a relatively educated sample with sufficient digital engagement capacity. This demographic composition supports the relevance of the study population for examining algorithm-driven media consumption.

Reliability Analysis

Table 2: Reliability of Measurement Scales

Variable	Items	Cronbach's Alpha
Algorithmic News Exposure	6	0.82
Misinformation Susceptibility	7	0.85
Digital Literacy	6	0.79

The Cronbach's alpha values for all constructs were above the acceptable threshold of 0.70, indicating good internal consistency. This

confirms that the measurement instruments were reliable for further statistical analysis.

Descriptive Statistics of Main Variables

Table 3: Mean and Standard Deviation of Variables

Variable	Mean	Std. Deviation
Algorithmic News Exposure	3.68	0.74
Misinformation Susceptibility	3.41	0.81
Digital Literacy	3.55	0.69

The mean score for algorithmic news exposure (M = 3.68) indicates that respondents experienced a moderately high level of algorithm-driven content exposure. Misinformation susceptibility (M = 3.41) suggests a moderate tendency among users to

believe or engage with misleading content. Digital literacy (M = 3.55) reflects an average level of critical evaluation ability among respondents, indicating room for improvement in digital awareness.

Correlation Analysis

Table 4: Correlation Matrix

Variables	ANE	MS	DL
Algorithmic News Exposure (ANE)	1		
Misinformation Susceptibility (MS)	0.62**	1	
Digital Literacy (DL)	-0.48**	-0.55**	1

Note: p < 0.01

The results indicate a strong positive correlation (r = 0.62, p < 0.01) between algorithmic news exposure and misinformation susceptibility, suggesting that increased exposure to algorithmically curated content is associated with higher susceptibility to misinformation.

Conversely, digital literacy shows a negative correlation with both algorithmic exposure (r = -0.48) and misinformation susceptibility (r = -0.55), indicating that higher digital literacy reduces vulnerability to misinformation and moderates algorithmic influence.

Regression Analysis

Table 5: Regression Results (Predicting Misinformation Susceptibility)

Predictor	Beta (β)	t-value	p-value
Algorithmic News Exposure	0.58	12.34	0.000
Digital Literacy	-0.41	-9.18	0.000

R² = 0.46

The regression model explains 46% of the variance in misinformation susceptibility (R² = 0.46), indicating a strong explanatory power.

- Algorithmic news exposure is a significant positive predictor (β = 0.58, p < 0.001), meaning higher exposure increases susceptibility to misinformation.

- Digital literacy is a significant negative predictor (β = -0.41, p < 0.001), indicating that higher literacy reduces susceptibility. These findings confirm that algorithmic systems significantly shape users' vulnerability to misinformation, while digital literacy serves as a protective factor.

- Social media users in Pakistan experience moderate-to-high algorithmic news exposure.

- There is a strong positive relationship between algorithmic exposure and misinformation susceptibility.
- Digital literacy significantly reduces misinformation susceptibility.
- The combined model explains a substantial portion of variance in user behavior (46%).

The empirical results confirm that algorithmic news exposure plays a significant role in increasing misinformation susceptibility among social media users in Pakistan. However, digital literacy emerges as a critical moderating and protective factor, reducing the negative effects of algorithmic content curation.

Discussion

The findings of this study provide strong empirical evidence that algorithmic news exposure significantly influences misinformation susceptibility among social media users in Pakistan. The results indicate a positive and statistically significant relationship between algorithm-driven content consumption and users' tendency to believe or engage with misleading information. This aligns with prior research suggesting that algorithmic recommendation systems amplify emotionally engaging and sensational content, which often includes misinformation, thereby increasing its visibility and perceived credibility.

The strong association between algorithmic exposure and misinformation susceptibility can be explained through the lens of selective exposure theory and cognitive bias mechanisms. Users are consistently exposed to content that reinforces their existing beliefs due to personalization algorithms, which intensifies confirmation bias and reduces critical evaluation of information. Over time, this creates a digital environment where misinformation is not only more accessible but also more persuasive due to repeated exposure and social endorsement signals such as likes and shares.

Furthermore, the study highlights that digital literacy plays a significant negative role in mitigating misinformation susceptibility. Individuals with higher digital literacy

demonstrated greater ability to critically evaluate content, verify sources, and resist misleading narratives. However, the moderate overall level of digital literacy observed among respondents suggests that a large portion of users remain vulnerable to algorithmically amplified misinformation. This finding is particularly important in the Pakistani context, where social media serves as a primary news source for a significant segment of the population.

Conclusion

The study concludes that algorithmic news exposure is a significant predictor of misinformation susceptibility among social media users in Pakistan. The findings confirm that AI-driven content curation systems, while enhancing user engagement and personalization, simultaneously contribute to the spread and acceptance of misinformation. The results further demonstrate that digital literacy acts as a protective factor, reducing users' vulnerability to misleading information.

Overall, the study provides robust empirical support for the argument that algorithmic systems are not neutral intermediaries but active shaping forces in digital information ecosystems. In Pakistan's rapidly evolving digital landscape, this has important implications for public understanding, democratic discourse, and information integrity.

Implications of the Study

Theoretical Implications

This study contributes to communication and media theory by extending selective exposure theory into algorithm-driven digital environments. It demonstrates that exposure is no longer purely user-driven but structurally shaped by algorithmic systems, thereby expanding traditional theoretical assumptions about media choice. Additionally, it integrates cognitive bias frameworks to explain how repeated exposure to algorithmically curated content increases misinformation susceptibility.

Practical Implications

Practically, the findings have significant implications for policymakers, digital platforms,

and educators. Regulatory bodies can use these insights to develop policies that promote algorithmic transparency and accountability in social media platforms. Technology companies can design improved recommendation systems that reduce misinformation amplification while maintaining user engagement.

For educators and institutions, the findings emphasize the urgent need to strengthen digital literacy programs. Enhancing users' ability to critically evaluate online content can significantly reduce the harmful effects of algorithmic misinformation exposure.

Societal Implications

At the societal level, the study highlights the risks posed by algorithm-driven misinformation in shaping public opinion, political polarization, and social trust. In countries like Pakistan, where digital literacy varies widely, misinformation can have serious consequences for democratic processes, public health awareness, and social cohesion.

Future Directions

Future research should explore longitudinal designs to examine how sustained algorithmic exposure influences misinformation susceptibility over time. Comparative studies across different countries could also provide deeper insights into cultural and structural variations in algorithmic impact.

Additionally, future studies should incorporate experimental designs to establish causal relationships more strongly between algorithmic exposure and misinformation behavior. The role of emerging technologies such as generative AI in further amplifying misinformation ecosystems also requires urgent academic attention.

Recommendations

The study recommends that policymakers introduce algorithmic transparency regulations requiring social media platforms to disclose how content is ranked and recommended. Social media companies should implement hybrid recommendation systems that balance

engagement metrics with credibility signals to reduce misinformation amplification.

Educational institutions should integrate digital literacy and fact-checking modules into academic curricula to strengthen students' critical thinking skills in digital environments. Public awareness campaigns should also be launched to educate users about the risks of algorithmically curated misinformation.

Furthermore, collaboration between governments, technology firms, and independent fact-checking organizations should be strengthened to ensure rapid identification and correction of false information circulating on social media platforms.

Limitations of the Study

Despite its contributions, the study has certain limitations. First, the use of a cross-sectional research design limits the ability to establish causal relationships between variables. Second, the reliance on self-reported data may introduce response bias, as participants might overestimate or underestimate their digital behavior and literacy levels.

Third, the use of convenience sampling limits the generalizability of the findings to the broader population of Pakistan. Although the sample size was statistically adequate, it may not fully represent rural populations or less active social media users.

Finally, the study focused primarily on major social media platforms and did not differentiate between platform-specific algorithmic behaviors, which may vary significantly in their influence on misinformation exposure.

Below is your fully arranged, de-duplicated, alphabetized APA 7th reference list in a clean academic format:

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, S., & Qazi, A. (2011). A look out for academic impacts of social networking sites (SNSs): A student-based perspective. *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(12), 5022-5031.

- Ali, A., Ullah, M., Khan, M. T., & Shehzad, U. (2026). Impact of artificial intelligence-based predictive analytics on improving academic performance in Pakistani universities: The moderating role of digital literacy. *Spectrum of Engineering Sciences*, 4(3), 167-178.
- Ali, E., Afzal, U., Arshad, A., & Asim, R. M. (2025). Social media algorithms and their impact on political disinformation and misinformation. *Journal of Media Horizons*, 6(1), 335-345.
- Avram, M., Micallef, N., Patil, S., & Menczer, F. (2020). Exposure to social engagement metrics increases vulnerability to misinformation. *arXiv preprint*.
- Bakshy, E., Messing, S., & Adamic, L. A. (2015). Exposure to ideologically diverse news and opinion on Facebook. *Science*, 348(6239), 1130-1132.
- Bucher, T. (2018). *If...then: Algorithmic power and politics*. Oxford University Press.
- Festinger, L. (1957). *A theory of cognitive dissonance*. Stanford University Press.
- Flaxman, S., Goel, S., & Rao, J. M. (2016). Filter bubbles, echo chambers, and online news consumption. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 80(S1), 298-320.
- Gillespie, T. (2014). The relevance of algorithms. In T. Gillespie, P. J. Boczkowski, & K. A. Foot (Eds.), *Media technologies: Essays on communication, materiality, and society* (pp. 167-194). MIT Press.
- Guess, A. M., Nagler, J., & Tucker, J. (2020). Less than you think: Prevalence and predictors of fake news dissemination on Facebook. *Science Advances*, 5(1).
- Gul, H., Ullah, M., & Gul, S. (2024). Board structure and firm performance: Analyzing the role of audit committee in Pakistan's Modaraba sector. *Policy Research Journal*, 2(4), 166-180.
- Haroon, D., Arif, H., Tariq, A. A., Nawaz, F., Qazi, I. A., & Mustafa, M. (2021). Investigating misinformation dissemination on social media in Pakistan. *arXiv preprint*.
- Hastuti, H., Maulana, H. F., Lawelai, H., & Suherman, A. (2025). Algorithmic influence and media legitimacy: A systematic review of social media's impact on news production. *Frontiers in Communication*, 10, 1667471.
- Jalal, W., & Ullah, M. (2025). Green financial development and sustainable economic growth in Pakistan: A pathway to resilience and prosperity. *Center for Management Science Research*, 3(3), 470-482.
- Khalil, H. (2024). Algorithmic bias and political polarization: Analyzing the role of news aggregators and social media in Pakistan. *Pakistan Languages and Humanities Review*.
- Khan, M., Ali, S., & Rehman, F. (2023). Social media, misinformation, and political polarization in Pakistan. *Journal of South Asian Studies*, 38(2), 145-162.
- Knobloch-Westerwick, S. (2015). *Choice and preference in media use: Advances in selective exposure theory and research*. Routledge.
- Muhammad, N., Ullah, M., Alam, W., & Maaz, R. M. (2026). China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) perceptions and public support: The moderating role of economic expectations. *International Journal of Social Sciences Bulletin*, 4(3).
- Nickerson, R. S. (1998). Confirmation bias: A ubiquitous phenomenon in many guises. *Review of General Psychology*, 2(2), 175-220.
- Pariser, E. (2011). *The filter bubble: What the Internet is hiding from you*. Penguin Press.
- Pennycook, G., & Rand, D. G. (2019). Lazy, not biased: Susceptibility to partisan fake news is better explained by lack of reasoning. *Cognition*, 188, 39-50.
- Raza, A., & Aslam, M. W. (2024). Algorithmic curation in Facebook: An investigation into AI-driven political polarization and misinformation in Pakistan. *Annals of Human and Social Sciences*, 5(2), 219-232.
- Saqib, M. U., & Hussain, S. (2025). Health misinformation and social media: Analyzing fake news effects on public behavior in Pakistan. *Journal of Creative Arts and Communication*, 2(2).

- Sardar, H., Farooq, S. U., Ullah, M., & Habib, A. B. (2025). Impact of digital financial services on poverty alleviation in rural Pakistan. *Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance*, 3(1), 45-62.
- Shah, S. B., Ullah, M., Sabir, S., & Umer, M. H. (2021). Social media usage and psychological well-being among youth: The moderating role of perceived social support in Pakistan. *International Journal of Social Sciences Bulletin*, 12.
- Shu, K., Sliva, A., Wang, S., Tang, J., & Liu, H. (2017). Fake news detection on social media: A data mining perspective. *arXiv preprint*.
- Ullah, M. (2020). Women empowerment and social development in Afghanistan through microfinance. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 10(12), 377-389.
- Ullah, M., Afghan, N., & Afridi, A. S. (2019). Effects of corporate governance on capital structure and financial performance: Empirical evidence from Pakistan. *Global Social Sciences Review*, 4(3), 273-283.
- Ullah, M., Khan, A. M., & Usman, A. (2020). COVID-19 and global stock market. *International Journal of Management*, 12(2), 374-380.
- Vosoughi, S., Roy, D., & Aral, S. (2018). The spread of true and false news online. *Science*, 359(6380), 1146-1151.
- Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017). *Information disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary framework*. Council of Europe.

