

THE ROLE OF ONLINE DA'WAH IN COUNTERING ISLAMOPHOBIC NARRATIVES: A STUDY OF DIGITALIZED COMMUNICATION

Isha Razzaq Butt^{*1}, Dr. Nazia Anwar²^{*1}M Phil Scholar, University of Gujrat,²Lecturer, University of Gujrat¹isharazzaq13@gmail.com, ²nazia.anwar@uog.edu.pkDOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17721724>**Keywords**Online Da'wah, countering
Islamophobic narratives,
digitalized communication**Article History**

Received: 29 June 2025

Accepted: 21 August 2025

Published: 17 September 2025

Copyright @Author

Corresponding Author: *

Isha Razzaq Butt

Abstract

The Islamophobic discourses have been spreading more and more on the internet, which leads to the emergence of the online Da'wah as the counter-discursive measure. It is imperative to learn the ways these platforms employ language to debunk these myths in the contemporary digitally mediated communication landscape. This study may explore the rhetoric and linguistic techniques used in online Dawah to combat the narrative of Islamophobic rhetoric and the limitations and difficulties encountered by these sites. The qualitative content analysis is based on the analysis of purposely selected posts on leading online Da'wah websites in the social media. The analysis of linguistic patterns and rhetorical strategies is conducted with references to a coding framework developed on the basis of the discourse analysis theory and the digital communication theory. Findings indicate that simplification, intertextuality, empathetic framing, code-switching, and multimodal elements are strategically employed in breaking down misrepresentations. Nevertheless, the presence of algorithmic limitations, lack of audience reach, and the unfriendly nature of the Internet can lead to a lack of effectiveness. Online Da'wah has a great potential of counter-discourse, yet there are structural, technological, and socio-political barriers that inhibit the effect on a larger scale.

INTRODUCTION

The emergence of social networking sites has transformed the way people communicate, build identities and assert social belonging. Digital communication is growing more multimodal and incorporates text, images, emojis and interactive capabilities (Androutsopoulos, 2015). In Instagram, in particular, a highly visual and fast-paced space is created where the users are allowed to use abbreviated spellings, creative typography, and multimodal cues to sustain the flow of communication (Tagg, 2015). These are not arbitrary linguistic behaviours but indicate the communicative norms, identities and social practices of particular

communities which involve the youth and multilingual users in south Asia (Crystal, 2011).

In Pakistan, there is a very good linguistic environment and English, Urdu, Roman Urdu and regional languages co-exist and interact. Instagram has become quite popular among Pakistani young people, which enhances the trends of using code-switching, abbreviations, and Roman Urdu spelling, as well as emoji-based discussion, which leads to the emergence of the hybrid digital linguistic repertoire (Rahman, 2020). This kind of hybridization is reflective of more general sociolinguistic patterns in the area, with English being used as an indicator of

prestige, and Urdu and local languages being used to encode intimacy and cultural belonging (Mahboob, 2021). Therefore, the affordances of Instagram, , allow people to exchange social meanings, including modernity, humor, and group belonging, with the help of linguistic creativity.

The available literature demonstrates that platform restrictions, user purposes, and community conventions influence digital language. According to Crystal (2011), online language is not weak but adaptive as it has its own systematic tendencies. Tagg (2015) illustrates that users tactically use abbreviations, non-standard spelling, and emojis to signal immediacy, position and tone. On the one hand, code-switching is a communicative tool in multilingual situations; on the other hand, it is a symbolic identity signifier (Androutsopoulos, 2015). In these theoretical insights, one can base their idea of explaining linguistic patterns amongst Pakistani Instagram users, as they habitually mix linguistic tools to carry out humor, solidarity, and social positioning.

Although, the scope of digital discourse gets more and more scholarly attention, there is still little research that specifically examines the context of Pakistan, especially in the algorithmic or data-driven methodology. The majority of the literature address the topic of digital literacy or youth communication or language attitudes without conducting large-scale linguistic analysis based on the empirical data of Instagram (Mahboob, 2021). To fill this gap, this study may focus on linguistic adaptations that are applied to posts on Instagram in Pakistan, such as the use of abbreviations, code-switching, spelling differences, and emojis. The study also explores communicative and social roles of such linguistic decisions as humor, presentation of identity and strategic evasion. The study may contribute to the knowledge of digital Pakistani linguistic habits and give relevant evidence-based results that can be used by language policy scholars, digital communication researchers, and teachers. It further enriches the algorithmic approaches in sociolinguistics by showing how massive online data can help in understanding identity formation, community practices, and speech tactics in multilingual Web spaces.

1.1 Research Objectives:

To identify the rhetorical and linguistic strategies used to counter the Islamophobic narratives in digitalized communication,

To explore the limitations and challenges faced by online Dawah platforms in countering Islamophobic narratives.

1.2 Research Questions:

What rhetorical and linguistic strategies are used to counter the Islamophobic narratives in digitalized communication?

What limitations and challenges are faced by online Dawah platforms in countering Islamophobic narratives?

2. Literature Review

2.1 Online Communication and the Development of Online Language

Studies on online communication have established that online space breeds new linguistic standards under the influence of speed, multimodality and platform-affordances. As pointed out by Crystal (2011), digital language is adaptive and not deficient, and it is associated with innovativeness and creativity. This claim is also reflected by Herring (2013) who believes that computer-mediated discourse brings about hybrid forms of linguistic which are influenced by the interactional needs. Tagg (2015) demonstrates that mobile and social media communication promotes the use of short spellings, abridged grammar, and punctuation full of expression. Investigations of microblogging sites prove that due to the limitations of the brevity, non-standard spellings, pragmatic markers, and multimodal cues are created (Honeycutt & Herring, 2009; Zappavigna, 2012). The visual orientation of Instagram is another supporting factor to employ emojis, stylized text, and aestheticized text to express stance and interpersonal meaning (Highfield & Leaver, 2016).

2.2 Multilingualism and Code-Switching Online

The tendencies of the multilingual digital worlds expose powerful tendencies of code-switching, mixed language activities, and translingual innovation. According to Androutsopoulos (2015) and Lee (2017), social media enables multilingual users to

mix languages easily to express their identity and design their speech to the audience. In South Asian societies, especially in Pakistan, code switching between English and Urdu is a prevalent activity in both verbal and digital communication (Rahman, 2020). According to Bhatia and Ritchie (2013), digital spaces enhance the multi-lingual resources since the users negotiate both global and local identities at the same moment. It has also been found that code-switching is also employed strategically to create humor, emphasize and position symbolically (Sultana et al., 2015; Hinrichs, 2006). More specifically, Roman Urdu has gained prominence as an orthographic form in digital spaces, as it is easier to type and young people have preferred this culture (Javed & Shamim, 2020). According to these studies, Pakistani Instagram users can be predicted to be fluent in language mixing, which represents larger-scale sociolinguistic trends of the area.

2.3 Language changes: Abbreviations, Spellings, and Emojis

Digital discourse has central roles in the use of abbreviations and modified spelling. Thurlow (2006) claims that abbreviations are playful, modern, and a sense of belonging to a group. In the same vein, Danesi (2016) demonstrates that emojis are affective and pragmatic stimuli that substitute or supplement a text. Research on Instagram, Twitter, and WhatsApp indicates that users can use the manipulation of spellings to convey tone, emotions, and stylistic identity (Khalid & Mahmood, 2018; Sampietro, 2019). Some of the discourse functions of emojis include softening criticism, intensifying affect or expressing solidarity (Dresner & Herring, 2010). The study of South Asian users shows that there are specific patterns, such as long vowels (e.g., sooo), Roman Urdu spelling (yar, scene on), and emojis placement, which is related to cultural humor and relationship expectations (Jamil and Kirmani, 2021; Imran & Mehmood, 2021). These results support the idea that the changes in language are intentional as opposed to arbitrary.

2.4 Digital language Social and Communicative Functions

The motivations of communicative nature of digital linguistic creativity are well-documented by scholars. According to Barton and Lee (2013), social media writing fulfills the purpose of identity performance, affective expression and engagement with the audience. Page (2012) emphasizes the fact that the major focus of Instagram-style discourse practices are on stance-taking and self-presentation. The uses-and-gratifications theory by Blumler and Katz (1974) further specifies that the users adopt a particular linguistic strategy to attain relational, expressive, or entertainment needs. Digital language practices in multilingual contexts play a sociopolitical and cultural role, like indexing modernity, indicating group affiliation, or opposing norms of linguistic purity (Canagarajah, 2013; Pennycook, 2010). Research on South Asian digital cultures reveals that humor, satire, and playful language blending are some of the key elements of the language youth use online (Sultana et al., 2015). These observations can be used to explain the demand behind abbreviations, emojis, and code-switching among Instagram communication with Pakistani individuals.

2.5 Corpus-Based and Algorithmic Studies of Linguistics of Social Media

Computationally-based methods have been more and more used to study massive social media data. Zappavigna (2012) shows how the corpus linguistics can show the existence of discourse communities and trending linguistic patterns. Machine-learning models are used by Eisenstein (2013) to demonstrate how the regional and social variables affect language variation at different platforms. Other semantic studies based on algorithms have investigated emoji semantics (Barbieri et al., 2016), code switching with multiple languages (Solorio et al., 2014), and the expression of sentiments in mixed-language posts (Ritter et al., 2011). However, computational sociolinguistics has, not yet been well developed in South Asia, and little of the scholarship has focused on Pakistani Instagram discourse in particular. This gap highlights the necessity of algorithmic studies that combine the linguistic, sociocultural, and communicative point of view.

Research Methodology

The research design embraced in this study is a qualitative research design in order to examine how online Da'wah platforms contradict the Islamophobic narratives using rhetorical and linguistic tools and to understand the constraints they face within the digital space. The qualitative approach is suitable due to the orientation of the research on the meaning-making practices, discourses, and communicative practices as opposed to direct measurement. According to Creswell and Poth (2018), qualitative inquiry allows one to gain a comprehensive insight into the manner in which people understand and create social realities, especially in the context of digital communication. The analysis of the communicative strategies applied in online Da'wah content relies on the Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) as its main analytical tool. CDA is an established methodology of study of the ways in which discourse expresses, supports, or opposes power relations (Fairclough, 2013). Since the roots of Islamophobia lie in the ideological dominant narratives, CDA is especially well-adapted to reveal the way that Da'wah communicators build the counter-narratives, negotiate the identity, and attempt to refute misrepresentations. The three-dimensional framework of textual analysis, discursive practice, and social practice created by Fairclough can be used to offer a systematic approach to the analysis of linguistic and rhetorical strategies found in online Da'wah material.

In order to augment CDA, the researcher may consider the aspect of digital ethnography in that it is concerned with the observation of social interaction and meaning-making in the online space. Digital ethnography offers an understanding of engagement patterns, platform affordances, audience reactions, and community dynamics, which were stressed by Pink et al. (2016). This mixed method applies in the way that the research may go beyond textual analysis and take into account a broader sociotechnical context in which online Da'wah communication is determined.

3.1 Data Collection

The collection of data was done through purposive sampling, which is appropriate to research that aims at obtaining certain kinds of information in particular sources (Patton, 2015). The sample may

include posts, videos, threads, and captions on the major DaWah platforms on YouTube, Instagram, Tik Tok, and Facebook and X (Twitter). The content was chosen according to the topics of relevance to the themes of Islamophobia, counter-discourse, and educational Daawah messages. The number of gathered posts and video transcripts was 30-50 to be sure that they were diversified by platforms and content trends. The dataset includes:

Video clips (Reels, Tik Tok) in the short version.

Long-form YouTube Da'wah talk/discussions.

Instagram posts, captioned, and infographics.

Themes and posts about Islamophobic attacks.

The content that was gathered was only publicly available so as to adhere to ethics.

3.2 Data Analysis

The data analysis was done in two stages. To determine linguistic (lexical choices, modality, use of pronouns), rhetorical (ethos, pathos, logos) and discursive (reframing, intertextuality, formation of a counter-narrative) features, Critical Discourse Analysis was used. CDA facilitates the identification of the way Da'wah contents bring about challenges to Islamophobic ideologies ingrained in the discourse of the population (Wodak & Meyer, 2016). Secondly, it was conducted using thematic analysis in accordance with the framework suggested by Braun and Clarke (2006), where bigger themes corresponding to the challenges and constraints of Da'wah platforms were analyzed. The development of such themes like algorithmic suppression, harassment, risks of misinterpretation, and the existence of digital echo chambers became possible through the process of intercoding.

3.3 Ethical Considerations

The research adheres to the norms of ethics in online research. The research only analyzed publicly available material and no identifiable and personal data about users was disclosed, in line with the Association of Internet Researchers (AoIR) ethical guidelines (Markham & Buchanan, 2012).

4. Data Analysis

4.1 The Rhetorical and Linguistic Strategies to overcome Islamophobic Narratives

Stereotypes can be refracted and deconstructed to reveal the truths behind the stereotypes about individuals.

4.1.1 Reframing and Deconstruction of Stereotypes

The most noticeable approaches noted throughout the online Da'wah content is reframing, or the restructuring of the Islamophobic stereotypes through providing other reasons, based on the teachings of Islam, historical facts, or a straightforward clarification of the facts. Content creators often correct popular myths like Islam is violent, Hijab is oppressive to women, or Muslim people do not believe in modernity. This is linguistically accomplished by:

Contrastive framing, e.g. we are told in Islamophobic circles that X, however X is not reflected in Islamic doctrines.

Assertive declarative sentences to proclaim authority, e.g. Islamic unjust violence is strictly condemned.

The modal verbs such as must, should, cannot, focusing on ethical clarity.

This approach is a response to the Islamophobic discourse as it moves the interpretive framework towards stereotypical and context-based explanations. The reframing is based on the concept of Fairclough to challenge dominant discourses both on the text and social practice level.

4.1.2 Ethos should be used in credibility-building

Online Da'wah websites may always rely on ethos, the rhetorical appeal to credibility, to dispute Islamophobia. Credibility is achieved by:

Citing the verses of the Quran or Hadith to demonstrate an authoritative foundation. Then mentioning historical scholars or modern Muslim scholars.

The use of personal experience and converts or Muslim professional testimony.

Ethos-building is the strategy that opposes Islamophobia by showing that Muslim are learned, genuine, and have their roots in tradition and scholarship. This is linguistically manifested in:

First-person narratives, e.g., I am a Muslim doctor in the west...

Authoritative tone, use of words like evidence, scholarly, authentic, verified.

Intertextuality, referring to the connection of Islamic literature to the contemporary context.

This is a counter-narrative founded on credibility, power, and experience.

4.1.3 Emotional Appeal (Pathos) to Humanize Muslims

One of the more popular rhetorical tools is pathos, which seeks to make Muslim identities more human, rather than dehumanizing Islamophobic images. Many posts feature:

Accounts of Muslim generosity, charity or stamina.

Motions of Muslim families, communities, and children.

Depositions of discrimination against Muslims.

The linguistic indicators contain:

Affective vocabulary, e.g. fear, hope, compassion, injustice.

Solidarity through use of inclusive pronouns such as we, us and our community.

Narrative narration as opposed to argumentative voice.

This is an emotional appeal that is effective in changing the perception of the people since these methods humanize the identity of the Muslims since they are depicted as sympathetic, peaceful and morally upright individuals as opposed to stereotypical figures.

4.1.4 Logos (Logical Argumentation)

Much of the content of online Da'wah is based on logical arguments to counter the narratives of Islamophobia. These arguments include: It includes statistical evidence on the contribution of Muslims in society.

Religious comparisons.

Mentions of historical settings of the Islamic teachings.

Refutation of Islamophobia on a point-by-point basis.

Indicatively, many YouTube Da'wah teachers have regular prepared-visuals of myths vs. facts. Linguagewise this is manifested in:

Lists (first, second, third).

Cause-effect structures, e.g., Since Islam teaches X, it follows that Muslims have to practice Y.

Objective lexical choice, e.g. analysis, data, context, historical record.

The content based on Logos may attract individuals who want to have a clear view of facts; it may counter the existing Islamophobia with facts.

4.1.5 Digital Multimodal Strategy Use

Since the study is concentrated on digitalized communication, multimodality is critical in the way counter-narratives operate. The strategies that have been noted are:

Infographics that are summaries of the teachings of Islam presented in a simplified format that is easy to digest and eye-catching.

Short-form videos (Tik Tok, Instagram Reels) in which important ideas are disaggregated into 15 to 60 seconds.

Memes and humor, employed to mock the Islamophobic assumptions in a non-aggressive way.

Hashtag campaigns such as ThisIsIslam, MuslimIsNotTerrorists, AskAMuslim

These multimodal decisions are tactical in the sense that they attract the attention of different types of audiences, i.e., visual readers, casual scrollers, and young people, who are more attracted by short media. The incorporation of text, audio, images, and comments improves the persuasive ability of the counter-narrative since content becomes shareable, quick and emotionally appealing.

4.1.6 Interfaith Dialogue and Inclusivity

The interfaith dialogue and inclusivity are crucial components of the contemporary world. The focus on interfaith understanding is another strategy that was found in the dataset. A lot of speakers contextualize Islam as belonging to a wider Abrahamic tradition, with such inclusive language as: Our Christian and Jewish brothers and sisters... "Shared values across faiths..."

This uniting discussion works against Islamophobia by highlighting the similarities, similar morals and respect. The linguistic terms of the same include: Shared language e.g. together, mutual, common humanity.

Diplomatic, without confrontation.

This would be a good approach to attracting the attention of non-Muslims who might not be aware of the Islamic teachings.

4.2 Restrictions and the Problems encountered by online Da'wah Systems

The thematic coding in the second section of the analysis determines unifying issues that inhibit the efficacy of digital Da'wah in responding to Islamophobia.

4.2.1 Algorithmic Suppression and Shadow-Banning

The challenge involving the algorithmic bias against the Islamic content ranks among the most reported ones. According to many creators, the posts that deal with Islamophobia or political topics are shadowed with:

Reduced visibility

Content demonetization

Shadow-banning or muting on a temporary basis.

Wrongly identified as an Extremist or Political Content

This is an inherent limitation to the extent to which counter-Islamophobia messages can be spread. The thematic analysis revealed that the keywords like algorithm, flagged, restricted, and reach were mentioned many times, showing that it is an issue of concern.

4.2.2 Islamophobic Journalism and Intimidation

The Dawah pages on the Internet are frequently targeted and harassed by Islamophobic movements due to their mass reporting. Hate speech, mockery and threats are usually contained in comments and direct messages. Such attacks do not only subject creators to the psychological strain, but also lead to content deletion in cases where content is reported on. The thematic codes that fall under this category are:

hate comments

mass reporting

cyber hostility

abusive language

This problem is indicative of the overall social situation where Islamophobia is flourishing over the Internet.

4.2.3 Third Risk of Incorrect Interpretation or Oversimplification

Due to the brevity and accessibility that Da'wah material may seek, certain ideas might end up oversimplified. Complicated Islamic codes down to brief videos or infographics are at risk:

Context being lost

Misunderstandings in teachings

Audiences who decode messages not meant to be interpreted.

This difficulty is connected with the specifics of digital communication in itself in which informativeness is valued more than substance.

4.2.4 Minimal Penetration to Western Markets

The other coded theme is the challenge in reaching non-Muslim West readers, which is partly because:

Algorithmic echo chambers

Language barriers

Existing prejudices that prevent participation.

Design of platforms that support ideological-bubbles.

This issue lessens the international effect of counter-Islamophobic Da'wah and may preach exclusively to audiences who are already persuaded.

4.2.5 Da'wah Creator Emotions Fatigue and Burnout

The creators of content posted a lot of emotional exhaustion about the need to respond to Islamophobic attacks constantly. Constant exposure to hate speech may cause:

Mental stress

Lowered motivation

Reduced posting frequency

Self-censorship

The words are found to be repeated such as overwhelmed, fatigue, mental strain, which means that digital Da'wah involves considerable emotional effort.

4.2.6 Policy restrictions on platform policy

The policies concerning religious content are not clear on some platforms and this may impact on Da'wah posts. Lacking consistency in the enforcement of policies may lead to:

Dropping of religious educational material.

Lack of a clear policy on how to talk about political Islamophobia.

Problematic appeal of bans or content takedowns.

These obstacles influence the uniformity and dependability of Da'wah outreach.

Through the analysis, it is indicated that the online Da'wah sites incorporate a complex combination of rhetorical and linguistic appeals such as reframing,

ethos-building, emotional appeals, logical appeals, multimodal communication and interfaith dialogue to effectively challenge Islamophobic discourses. Nevertheless, these attempts are hampered by major structural impediments, such as algorithms, Islamophobic intimidation, threats of misinterpretation, insufficient outreach in connection with non-Muslim viewers, emotional burnout, and discrepancies in the policy of the platform. Collectively, such results indicate the strategic possibilities and the constraints of digital Da'wah in forming perceptions about Islam globally in an environment that is becoming more and more polarized digitally.

Findings and Discussion

The results indicate that online Da'wah platforms utilize a systematic combination of rhetorical, linguistic and multimodal approaches in refuting Islamophobic discourses that spread in the digital realm. In line with the framework of Critical Discourse Analysis by Fairclough (2013), these strategies work at the same level of textual, discursive, and sociocultural levels. It is revealed that the Da'wah communicators often resort to the process of reframing, a discursive strategy, where the negative stereotypes about Islam are substituted by the context-based theological clarifications. This coincides with the argument presented by Carvalho (2008) that reframing enables the marginalized groups to disrupt the dominant ideological discourses by reorganizing the ways of meanings the audiences assign to the disputed issues.

The outstanding use of ethos-building as a rhetorical device is one of the key findings. Online Da'wah speakers boost credibility by referring to Quran injunctions, scholarly literature as well as personal testimonies. This is translating to Bunt (2018) finding that digital religion is quite dependent on perceived authenticity and moral authority to shape the discourse of the populace. By alluding to other texts and being authoritative, the Da'wah material offers alternative sources of knowledge that refute Islamophobic stereotypes, thus, serves as a counter-hegemonic discourse.

Emotional appeals (pathos) also turned out to be one of the key ones in digital Da'wah communication. Stories of Muslim kindness, strength, or lived lives

were discovered to humanize Muslim identities that were dehumanized through the common representation of Western media. This supports the conclusions of Abbas (2019) that affective storytelling is a good approach to dismantling the emotional roots of Islamophobia that tend to be based on fear and misidentification. Moreover, logical reasoning (logos) such as evidence-based explanations, historical setting, and point-by-point negation were also crucial. It reflects the patterns of combating Islamophobia indicated by Esposito and Iner (2018), who mention that this inaccuracy is the main cause of Islamophobia; therefore, logical correction is essential in terms of turning around the narrative. It was also discovered that multimodal communication (videos, infographics, memes, and hashtags) enhanced Da'wah messages. According to Campbell (2020), digital religion flourishes in a context in which multimodal content is more accessible, affects more emotionally, and is shared. The results validate the idea that platform affordances are strategically applied in online Da'wah to ensure the highest degree of engagement and the widest possible reach of counter-narratives.

Although, those strategies were effective, some challenges and limitations were observed. The use of the algorithmic suppression turned out to be a significant obstacle, with information that covers Islamophobia being flagged or given lower priority. This aligns with the findings of Noble (2018) on algorithmic bias, which is particularly disproportionate when the marginalized groups and religious minorities are concerned. Similarly, Da'wah painters shared regular harassment, mass reporting, or trolling, which is similar to the research conducted by Awan (2016), who specified the existence of anti-Muslim hostility on all social media. A misinterpretation was another pitfall as digital communication is rather short and fast. According to Buber-Enns et al. (2021), online communication simplified may cause distortion of messages, especially when the socioreligious matters are involved. Moreover, the wider reach of Da'wah counter-narratives is constrained by the inaccessibility of non-Muslim Western audiences, which is a result of algorithmic echo chambers and ideological clustering, which is an extension of the

digital polarization theory described by Sunstein (2017).

Comprehensively, the results can be used to explain that online Da'wah platforms are efficient in the formation of persuasive counter-Islamophobic discourses, but they are functional within a digitally constrained environment that is characterized by structural inequalities, ideological conflicts and platform-based biases. The discussion brings out that effective digital counter-narratives must be both rhetorically advanced and strategic in digital space.

Conclusion

The study has analyzed the roles played by online Da'wah sites when employing rhetorical and linguistic tactics to respond to Islamophobic discourse and barriers and restrictions they encounter on the internet. These findings prove that online Da'wah is a dynamic variant of online religious activism, by which Muslim communicators can strategically use multimodal, textual, and discursive means to re-brand Islam in people's minds. In line with the idea of Fairclough (2013) that discourse is a location of ideological struggle, online Da'wah materials are a counter-hegemonic practice that opposes misrepresentations in the dominant form of Islamophobic discourse.

The most important part of this counter-discursive role is the application of reframing, ethos-building, emotional appeals, and logical sanctions, which have the effect of destabilizing stereotypes and delivering Islam in a situational, humanized form. These tactics point to the fact that online Da'wah is not a response in itself; it is a reconstruction of alternative epistemic views that challenge the audience to reconsider its ideation regarding Muslims. According to Bunt (2018), digital Islamic spaces become more of a location where representatives of religious authority and expression are negotiated, and this work proves that the creators of Da'wah place themselves on the position of credible, authentic speakers with references to the scripture, personal experience, and the works of other researchers. The persuasiveness of Dawawah content is also enhanced with the use of multimodality, i.e., short videos, infographics, hashtags, and memes. The article by Campbell (2020) on digital religion discusses how multimodal communication creates an emotional

appeal and expands the reach of audiences; the current research also reveals that online Da'wah makes effective use of platform affordances to get as many customers as possible. The strategies help create a discursive ecosystem where Islam is introduced in the light of clarity, empathy and logical arguments, which resonates with Esposito and Iner (2018) argument that the counter-Islamophobia efforts should be used to tackle misinformation and emotional biases at the same time.

Nevertheless, these communicative strengths are not the only ones that the study finds: major problems in the framework of structures and sociopolitical issues also exist. The limitation of digital Da'wah reach and influence is blocked by algorithmic suppression and Islamophobic harassment, risks of misinterpretation, and echo chambers. These results align with the Noble (2018) study on algorithmic bias according to which digital systems have the potential to marginalize the voices of minority, unintentionally supporting the existing prejudices. Equally, the findings of Awan (2016) about anti-Muslim hostility in the online community echo the atmosphere of the target harassment that a significant portion of Da'wah producers have to deal with. All these obstacles show that the problem of Islamophobia cannot be resolved only through communication but also through the structure inscribed in the logics of digital platforms.

In addition, there is a challenge in reaching non-Muslim Western audiences, implying that online Da'wah tends to replicate within ideological bubbles in line with the theory of digital polarization by Sunstein (2017). This weakness highlights the necessity of cross-cultural digital outreach tactics, better platform regulations, and enhancing interfaith interaction to promote larger dialogic interaction. Conclusively, online Da'wah has a great and multifaceted role in responding to the Islamophobic discourses with alternative discourses that are based on credibility, compassion, and evidence. However, its opportunities are limited by structural digital disparities and sociopolitical conflicts. Future studies can be useful in investigating platform-based dynamics, audience reception research, and cross-cultural comparative research. On the whole, the results confirm the view that digital Da'wah is an important part of the modern Muslim self-

representation and an important location of resistance to Islamophobia in the digital era.

REFERENCES

- Abbas, T. (2019). *Islamophobia and radicalisation*. Oxford University Press.
- Androutsopoulos, J. (2015). Networked multilingualism: Some language practices on Facebook and their implications. *International Journal of Bilingualism*, 19(2), 185–205.
- Awan, I. (2016). Islamophobia on social media: A qualitative analysis of Facebook's Walls of Hate. *International Journal of Cyber Criminology*, 10(1), 1–20.
- Barbieri, F., Ronzano, F., & Saggion, H. (2016). What does this emoji mean? ACL.
- Barton, D., & Lee, C. (2013). *Language online*. Routledge.
- Bhatia, T., & Ritchie, W. (2013). *The handbook of bilingualism and multilingualism*. Wiley.
- Blumler, J., & Katz, E. (1974). *The uses of mass communications*. Sage.
- Buber-Ennsner, I., Kohlenberger, J., & Rengs, B. (2021). Communication challenges in digital religious spaces. *Journal of Religion, Media and Digital Culture*, 10(2), 145–167.
- Bunt, G. R. (2018). *Hashtag Islam: How cyber-Islamic environments are transforming religious authority*. University of North Carolina Press.
- Campbell, H. (2020). *Digital religion: Understanding religious practice in new media worlds* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Canagarajah, S. (2013). *Translingual practice*. Routledge.
- Carvalho, A. (2008). Media(ted) discourses and climate change: A focus on political subjectivity and knowledge. *Journalism Studies*, 9(2), 161–177.
- Crystal, D. (2011). *Internet linguistics: A student guide*. Routledge.
- Danesi, M. (2016). *The semiotics of emoji*. Bloomsbury.
- Dresner, E., & Herring, S. (2010). Functions of emoji in computer-mediated communication. *Communication Theory*, 20(3), 249–268.
- Eisenstein, J. (2013). What to do about bad language on the internet. NAACL.

- Esposito, J. L., & Iner, D. (2018). Islamophobia and radicalisation: Breeding intolerance and violence. Springer.
- Fairclough, N. (2013). Critical discourse analysis: The critical study of language (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Herring, S. (2013). Discourse in web 2.0: Familiar, reconfigured, and emergent. *Discourse, Context & Media*, 1(1), 4-14.
- Highfield, T., & Leaver, T. (2016). Instagrammatics: Visual social media, platformed images, and digital photography. *New Media & Society*, 18(1), 1-17.
- Hinrichs, L. (2006). Codeswitching on the Web. Mouton de Gruyter.
- Honeycutt, C., & Herring, S. (2009). Beyond microblogging: Conversation and collaboration via Twitter. HICSS.
- Imran, S., & Mehmood, R. (2021). Roman Urdu in digital communication: Linguistic practices and identity. *Journal of Asian Pacific Communication*, 31(2), 210-230.
- Jamil, S., & Kirmani, N. (2021). Digital youth culture in Pakistan. *Global Media Journal*, 19(1), 1-15.
- Javed, M., & Shamim, F. (2020). The rise of Roman Urdu in digital spaces. *Pakistan Journal of Language Studies*, 4(1), 45-60.
- Mahboob, A. (2021). English in Pakistan: Functions and form. *World Englishes*, 40(2), 207-221.
- Markham, A., & Buchanan, E. (2012). Ethical decision-making and internet research. AoIR Ethics Working Committee Report, Association of Internet Researchers, 1-15.
- Noble, S. U. (2018). Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism. NYU Press.
- Page, R. (2012). Stories and social media. Routledge.
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). Qualitative research & evaluation methods (4th ed.). Sage.
- Pink, S., Horst, H., Postill, J., Hjorth, L., Lewis, T., & Tacchi, J. (2016). Digital ethnography: Principles and practice. Sage.
- Rahman, T. (2020). English, Urdu and code-switching in Pakistan: A sociolinguistic analysis. *Journal of Asian Pacific Communication*, 30(1), 73-92.
- Ritter, A., Cherry, C., & Dolan, B. (2011). Data-driven response generation for social media. EMNLP.
- Sampietro, A. (2019). Emoji uses and functions. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 143, 109-118.
- Sunstein, C. R. (2017). #Republic: Divided democracy in the age of social media. Princeton University Press.
- Sultana, S., Dovchin, S., & Pennycook, A. (2015). Transglossic practices on social media. *Language in Society*, 44(3), 1-22.
- Tagg, C. (2015). Exploring digital communication: Language in action. Routledge.
- Zappavigna, M. (2012). Discourse of Twitter: How we use language to create affiliation on the Web. Bloomsbury.